

POLEMIKA No.2

A MAGAZINE OF INDIGENIST ART & POLITICS

'WHITENESS' REVISITED

J.B. Gerald

Ridwan Laher

Simon Moya-Smith

Pascal Uccelli

minreyes

Zashnain

Special Commentary:

Kahentinetha Horn Selin k.

TheAngryindian

Rafa Garcia-Cano

POLEMIKA

POLEMIC / POLEMIC / [PUH-LEM-IK, POH-]

ORIGIN: 1630 40; <GREEK POLEMIK S OF OR FOR WAR, EQUIVALENT TO PLEM(OS) WAR + -IKOS -IC>

[AS ADJECTIVE]

1.A CONTROVERSIAL ARGUMENT, AS ONE AGAINST SOME OPINION, DOCTRINE, ETC.

2.A PERSON WHO ARGUES IN OPPOSITION TO ANOTHER; CONTROVERSIALIST.

3.POLEMICAL. OF OR PERTAINING TO A POLEMIC; CONTROVERSIAL.

The Art & Literary Journal of the



Aboriginal News Group

ang-newswire.blogspot.com



CONTENTS

Issue Number 2

{ 'Whiteness' } Revisited

*A Look at the Medium That
Controls the Entire World.*

Simon Moya-Smith

Of Pidgins, Primos, Documents and Dingbats
iamnotamascot.blogspot.com/

Vagabond Beaumont

Sundays Bloody Sundays

<http://nothingtobegainedhere.wordpress.com/>
Artwork: Sundays Bloody Sundays by vagabond ©

Min Reyes

Cost of a Revolution
minreyes.ca

TheAngryindian

APNS Editorial: America Needs Social Justice, Not 'Soul Searching'
aboriginalpress.wordpress.com/

Gerald & Mass Surpressed News

'Blood earth and South Africa's white farmers' ©
nightslantern.ncf.ca/bloodearth.htm

Ridwan Laher

[Commentary] : 'You Got a Problem? Well, Now You Do':
Why Black Young Men Have It Coming
ridwanlaher.blogspot.com/

Zashnain Zainal

Wise admonition to the head of state
zashnain.com/

Art Plates By:

Sequtito

Distributed under a
CC: Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 license

Vagabond Beaumont

Distributed under a
CC: Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 license

*Special Poetry
& Image*

Pascal Ucelli
of Spain

Rafa Garcia-Cano

Distributed under registered and protected by
"Agence des dépôts numériques - Certificat de
dépôt horodaté N°D4538-1627 - 08/10/2011 à
17:11

Kahentinetha Horn

WHITE RACE ORIGINS
MNN. Mar. 16, 2012

Liz Mariani

Hearing the Absence ©
thelizmariani.wordpress.com/

Special Poster by:

<http://www.honorthetreaties.org/>

Blood earth and South Africa's white farmers

March 12, 2012

On March 8, the Transvaal Agricultural Union (TAU) requested economic sanctions against the President and Parliament of South Africa until they provide white farmers with adequate protection. TAU believes 99.9 % of farm murders are of whites, and is requesting a European Union resolution on their behalf. (1) From 2001 to 2010, 129 South Africans were granted asylum in the U.S.. Refugee claimants are reported to have difficulty finding support from U.S. academics who assess South Africa as a post-apartheid society. Suggested sites for immigration are currently the U.S., Canada, Australia, Germany and Ireland. (2) A website of the Dutch based Afrikaner Rescue Action Fund, concerned with Afrikaner refugees suggests a psychology of long term exclusion, and close to a million Afrikaners in poverty and squatter camps. It claims white Africans aren't being integrated into the economy, but shows no concern for the poverty of other South Africans. In November 2011 an Afrikaner website, "Long walk since freedom," was barred by the South African government from carrying current news items.

The U.S. has opened a military command for interventions in Africa and a correlated agit-propaganda campaign is expectable. With reference to the murder of nearly four thousand ethnically European farmers, the term "White Genocide," is currently being applied to the situation of white farmers by the U.S. based Project South Africa. Its website features an ugly racist quote by Albert Schweitzer (b. 1875) to present an agenda of small U.S. demos protesting treatment of the white farmers while ignoring Afrikaner poor and the greater numbers in poverty of their non-white countrymen. The focus on crimes against white South Africans as a separate group re-kindles grievances 'resolved' by South Africa's independence. The term "white genocide" taps into racist, extremist and neo Nazi support, (3) noted by a Feb. 27th demonstration in Sacramento California where Project demonstrators and police were met by "Occupy Oakland" and accused of Klan influence. (4)

However there is evidence that members of white farming families in both Zimbabwe and South Africa are abused, murdered, threatened, forced to flee, or still struggling to continue their care for the land of their fathers. "Farm crime" is increasing, and numbers of the remaining, decreasing. The farmers, landowners, are taking grace, relying on international supporters which include elements of the extreme right, and relying on the wisdom of African national leadership and the humanity of other South Africans who aren't white. The relative non-violence of South Africa's independence, after the years of brutal oppression, speaks for its peoples' restraint and humanity.

Afrikaners carried both the disenfranchisement of defeat and the onus of their regime's injustices as the Western world embraced Mandela and Independence was sewed up by the corporations and international bankers: many of South Africa's people have remained in poverty. Expendable to the centres of power Afrikaners as a group, were unwanted, vulnerable, and marginalised as extremists due to their group's crimes of oppression. The hope for its young may be to reinvigorate the struggle for the rights of all South Africans. If apartheid were gone from South Africa, Afrikaners would be providing a buffer against non-African interests.

Instead white farm families in Zimbabwe and South Africa become representatives of the old European colonialism and currently serve as scapegoats for all sides. The people, black, brown and white, remain in poverty.

NATO country intelligence agencies are historically oriented to protecting white populations. Their policies which result in the destruction of racial, religious, ethnic groups (ie. genocide) inevitably invite retribution. A recurring pattern of destructions suggests the intention of genocide. U.S. / NATO agendas increasingly stumble over the massive death rate of Black Africans in Libya, Cote d'Ivoire, Somalia, Sudan, Uganda, Rwanda, and the Congo. Current U.S. / NATO policies risk to retribution all white African groups and all minorities with European affinity.

Since farm families know the land they cultivate, it would make sense to consider them deeply connected to the land, grant them State protection, and treat them as national assets while assuring profits from the land's production to the nation's people. In other words, the farms should be nationalized and placed in farm family trusts immune from political control but at the service of the nation. Because the farms are not in the service of the people, their owners become symbols of the old oppression. The large number of Afrikaner poor sharing the poverty of their countrymen, have already paid the bill for equality. Where excluded from the national life because of their race, mechanisms of redress might be requested at international court, but since the poverty is inherently South African both groups might do better to cohere to struggle for their improved chances at survival.

Amid a politics formed by foreign interests, the great majority of Africans haven't been paid for the sale and use of their national resources: there's a lack of balance between the coining of resource wealth and the wealth of the land's people. U.S. / NATO African policy assures there will be no balance.

Destabilization leads to war, displacement, famine. Its tactic is to generate inter-religious, inter-racial, and inter-tribal hatred. As an example the Rwandan genocide occurred within the context of an historical tension between Tutsi (the minority control for the former colonial power) and the Hutu majority. The Tutsi invasion established the English language in a Francophone country and exchanged its currency for the American dollar; it's unrealistic to consider this genocide within an entirely African context. It was certainly not to the interests of native Rwandans to slaughter each other. It wasn't to the interests of Ivorians to have the validity of their democratic elections decided by European armed force. It is not to the interests of the Congolese people to fight each other when the profits from stripping natural resources aren't shared by the people.

Christian communities, white farming communities, and Afrikaners have in common an affinity with European traditions, but their communities may be intentionally sacrificed to the West's efforts at re-colonization. These minorities may encourage a wedge for European incursion.

They are also likely targets in destabilization efforts, scapegoats for Euro-American corporate policies, and at risk in providing a pretext for military intervention by the West on 'humanitarian grounds'. For this reason a genocide warning is placed for Afrikaners in South Africa.

The white farmers (ie. landholders) have alternatives: 1. to adapt; 2. to leave; 3. to place their farms at the service of the people. The Afrikaners in poverty have these: 1. to collect evidence of their exclusion and take the Government to court for breaking its commitment to the U.N. "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights;" 2. to join with the non-white South African poor in the struggle for change. An alternative for both is to agitate for Euro-American military intervention, a betrayal of South Africa, risking a more dangerous persecution unprotected by the Convention on Genocide.

Endnotes

1. '99.9% of victims were white,' Nastasya Tay, March 9, 2012, iafrica.com.
- 2.. "We want out of SA," Nashira Davids, Feb. 22, 2012, TimesLive; "Afrikaner poor battle to survive in Cape Town - please help!" Feb. 12, 2012, Space Van Adriana : Afrikaner Boer Genocide Archive South Africa.

3. Use of the term "White Genocide" by right-wing groups seeking to advance through appeals to white fear, lower birthrates particularly in Europe, racism, and international attempts at democratization, ignores the history that brought about current persecution. It's a problematic issue, unresolved, dating back to African independence, where colonial oppressors were identified by their skin color and culture. European targets of Black independence movements were not protected by the Convention on Genocide in Algeria, Kenya, Cameroon, Congo, or South Africa. Why ? Because the people they oppressed, the Black Africans, weren't. Revolutions and wars of resistance are the result of injustice recognized as provocation. So a specific target of the Leopard Society throughout Europe's colonies, was whites. The working class of the European colonialist apparatus was often sacrificed by its managers and their countries' corporations. After the French defeat in Algeria, NATO countries were assured a percentage of resource profits from independence governments before the transition was effected. In resistant cases such as the former Belgian Congo, Patrice Lumumba was simply murdered to allow continued resource-stripping.

4. "Occupy group confronts pro-white rally at Capitol," AP, Feb. 27, 2012, SFGate.

First posted nightslantern. March 12, 2012



Vicious Judeophobic Propaganda - Nazi Germany: 1930's

As you can see, no one was spared from being degraded by the Fascists...

RACISM
Is Everyone's Problem!

REMEMBER:

UN-LEARNING 'Whiteness'
IS POSSIBLE!



MAKE AN EFFORT!
IDENTIFY BIAS
AND STOP IT!

A Public Service Announcement From The Aboriginal News Group

Wise admonition to the head of state

The Arthashastra, generally so heartless in its advice, contains this wise admonition to the head of state:

*In the happiness of his subjects lies a king's happiness,
In the welfare of his subjects, his welfare,
A king's good is not that which pleases him,
But that which pleases his subjects.*

Food for thought.



THE WHITE (D) MAN'S BURDEN.

WHITE RACE ORIGINS

We need to know the origins and motives of our opponents.

Melanin is the genetic component of Indigenous people, plants, animals, mother earth and everything on it. This connects us to each other and the natural world. It's scientifically based.

The relationship between the earth and our mind is based on our interwoven electric magnetic field.

Whites lack this interconnection. They fear and want to control nature. They have created a negative artificial existence. They carry out orgies of destruction without empathy, reason or purpose.

Indigenous have memories going back thousands of years. Whites have no genetic past life or memory of who they are and where they came from. They make up a past life.

The white race has very little if any melanin. They are thus not attached to the earth.

They fly around in their spaceship circling the earth. To land they have to latch themselves onto something that is attached to Indigenous earthlings.

Masks hide their real selves so they can mix with real human beings.

Their government is a political pigsty that invents wars, weapons, death, destruction, fear. Their leaders need obedience from their slaves who both envy and hate them.

Their ties are artificial, man made, like corporatism, government, paper money. Some form ties with Indigenous to try to get the security they need.

Even their religions reflect their skyward orientation. Their digging deep into the earth for oil, coal, and minerals has not provided the foundation they seek.

They are trying to take over the globe, using us as their anchor.

Their people were sent out long ago to find us to save themselves. They can't survive without us. They've tried to capture and imprison us to keep us as a source of mental energy and extension into our great mother.

We broke the chains that bound us, casting them adrift.

Living in peace is natural for us and requires great sacrifice. Evil and corruption have to be exposed to restore harmony.

Our younger brother came much later from another world and created the problems we have today. We tried to bring them back into the family. They started killing us and becoming more destructive.

Our great mother has a memory. She is determining their fate. By the end of this century they will be gone.

We are reminded of a song about the, "One eyed, one horned flying purple people eater": "But that's not the reason I came to land. I wanna get a job in a rock and roll band". "He went on his way and then what do you know, I saw him last night on a tv show" [American Idol]. He was blowing it out, really knocking them dead, playing rock and roll music, through the horn in his head".

~
~

<http://www.mohawknationnews.com/>

Liz Mariani

Hearing the Absence.

...

To know who we are conflicts with

whiteness even if this whiteness

is a major identifying factor-Solo.

Erasing-a personal vacuum of stories

about who your family is, where your language is,

about what is happening all around, what we can talk about.

I know, I know, I've heard it all before. The up and down, the all around. It was like this before. Yes, I know, time is circular,

spiral even. What happens if we say No to the men in the family? What happens if we tell the truth? Truth in vision, in memory, truth in gut, truth in sense.

What happens if we attempt to remind our mothers,

our sisters, our nieces, our chosen sisters, our chosen mothers,

our grandmothers, ourselves in peace?

What happens if we attempt to remind the women we love

(We are)- We are more important, more powerful, more necessary, more essential now more than ever?

What happens if we shake it up, if we ask camera crews to vacate the constructs, the fabric of our wombs? What happens?

.....

And for us, the others in the mix, the mixtures in the other, the all about it, when it happens to be, who we are and who we are not. I don't appreciate the dumbing down of our sisters, our families, the constriction of our communities.

Gather contractions,
birth it out, listen to the cry, because whiteness is hospice-bound. I write this poetry for death. Death of not person, but privilege. Death of implied innocence. Death of endless 2nd chances. Death of the powerhouse property loop regulated by invitation only. I see your redlining. I see

your game. Death of your delusion. Death of my delusion. Death of the pressure to melt or perish. I see the muzzles falling like rain. I disagree with your methods. This is said plainly.

We are not talking about a war. We are talking about returning. This is about the health of the planet. The power to regenerate. The power to recognize our place, placards of circles showing us the way. Our place is the planet. This is our home. Whiteness deepens weakness, the threads of patriarchy. The unweaving won't take so long. But sisters, but brothers, but children, we all are. We are.

Speak of drones, of jails, of poisoned food and poisoned ground, of constant killings of people, of souls we need, we require, we seek -here, right now. The earth is speaking. I know there are wars. I know there are many conversations about our creative capacities to breathe into life -Life. I know it

depends upon so many of us playing along, waiting for the strategy to find us, dressed in gear, gallon-heavy, gestating. We have it. We are the strategy.

Luckily, apathy has started to atrophy. Luckily, the fade fades. The faces can face layers of ice cracking. Rain washes. We answer.

I know it is of great risk to separate, to leave a family of thought. I know it is of great risk to go it alone, losing childhood friends and lifelong acceptance. But what if

this acceptance depended upon our silence, our counter-living. This implies death. I want no more implications. Solutions come.

TheLizMariani

Soviet Nationistic Propaganda depicting White Russian ethnic idealism, Circa: 1950's



NOTICE.

Cherokee - Freedmen - Enrollment.

The Commission to the Five Civilized Tribes will continue in session at

MUSKOGEE, IND. TER.,

from April 1, 1902, until May 31, 1902, inclusive, for the purpose of hearing rebuttal and supplemental testimony with respect to the enrollment of Cherokee Freedmen.

Notice is hereby given to all Freedmen listed as doubtful claimants that after May 31, 1902, their cases will be considered as completed, and will be finally decided by the Commission and reported to the Secretary of the Interior for his approval.

Native Cherokees, Freedmen, or Claimants by adoption who have not already appeared can apply for enrollment until July 1, 1902.

Wm. H. Allen,

Muskogee, I. T.

Cherokee F-D-597.

Register.

TAMS BIXBY,

T. B. NEEDLES,

G. R. BRECKINRIDGE,

Commissioners.

Of Pidgins, Primos, Documents and Dingbats



By the time you read this, I will have studied every inch of Spanglish and called every thuggish cousin in L.A. to discuss the whereabouts of my official tribal transcript – the government document which confirms that I am indeed a half-breed whose blood is also mixed with southern indigenous (Mexicano) ancestry.

And we will have this discussion in fluent Spanglish, chock full of pidgin-talk, slang and jazzy jargon. But the majority of my vato brethren will surely be half drunk on cheap 40s, and none will have the faintest or foggiest where my Lakota tribal document is buried.

Mom gave it to tiospaye (Lakota for 'extended') family during hard times when she was homeless, living under a tree in a park. At the time, I was eating only half my meals at school, so I could, later in the day, take her my leftovers just in case she was in need of something to hold her over until the grueling morning when the sun pierced her weary, weathered eyes and the officious cops ordered her to "get the fuck out of the park."

Pero, yo no se. I have no idea where this document has traveled. Where the Hell is it? No amount of shouting or scolding will surface the goddamn thing, and it's not like the bitchy approach would help my search anyway. More than a handful of my primos (Spanish for "cousins") in Los Angeles completely abhor that I've "gone all college." They assert that I've forgotten my 'hood – my milieu, as it were. "Ay, college boy. Que paso? ... Mira, college boy esta aqui." They're not wrong, though. I am a college boy, and I've got the mounting loans to prove it. No free tuition for this Indian, or any other Native with whom I keep company these days. No, sir.

But we're talking about Spanglish here. Yes, pidgins and primos, as well as that damn document that has gone missing since the Bad Days.

It's not easy to be a half breed, but "it's not a fault either," said Tink Tinker, an Osage elder and Iliff School of Theology professor with whom I had lunch early yesterday at a popular middle eastern restaurant called Jerusalem, located just west of the University of Denver about half a mile away from the campus locale infamously known as Red Brick Road. As a result of RBR, there's a DU ethnic kid credo: Don't be caught on Red Brick Road at night if you're not white.

"I'll see you at the sweat on Sunday," said Tink. "I'll be there," I shouted as he headed west on Evans and passed two paunchy white boys who glared at the both of us. When I got into my car I immediately rang my cousin in East L.A. about that goddamn document: "Orale. Donde esta my papers!" I shouted.

"I don't know!" said my primo.

"You don't know what? Where my papers are or what I'm saying? Don't you speak pidgin, you ninnyhammer?!"
"I don't know anything about no pigeons or your papers, ay."

"Fine," I said resolutely.

"And what the Hell is a 'ninnyhammer'?" he bellowed.

"Find me my document and I'll tell you!" I blistered. "The government said I should not expect to receive another black block of government cheese without it. Time is of the essence!"

"You talk too college-like, ese," he said.

"Yes," I responded. "And as a college boy I need my cheese! Do you understand? Finals loom! And I'm out of Ramen."

"It's pronounced, 'RRRaaaamon.' Roll your Rs, ay. You have a cousin named Ramon."

I immediately hung up the phone, cracked open a Corona, sat back on the couch and turned on Thunderheart. That movie balances me out for some odd reason. Then I began to ponder again about my rez doc. I don't think I'll ever see that thing again. But maybe that's a good thing. Papers do not determine one's identity, and neither does the putrid process of blood quantum for that matter. Still, I want my cheese. Oh well. At least I'm a bi-dialectical pidgin speaker who can rip and rant in both Spanglish and Lakotish. As a matter of fact, I can bitch and bellow in Spakotish! Yes, that's a pidgin that only a few could ever begin to comprehend – Spanish fused with Lakota jumbled with English. That should piss off a bevy of conservative International English apologist dingbats. Good. I like my enemies angry. It keeps me sharp and ready, stern and steady. And why not?

Still Not A Mascot,

-Simon Moya-Smith

Follow me at: www.Twitter.com/IAmNotAMascot



**THE LAND DOES NOT
BELONG TO US**

WE BELONG TO THE LAND!

'You Got a Problem? Well, Now You Do': Why Black Young Men Have It Coming



Comment: A couple of decades ago I was in a gym in Baltimore when a group of black teenage boys walked in and started to work out minding their own business.

Apart from the youngsters, there were about five other people in the gym: all of whom were white men in their mid to late twenties except for one older woman (mid 30s) who was working out solo.

Soon after the black kids entered the gym the woman approached a couple of the white men complaining that she did not feel safe.

They immediately rose to 'defend' her from her self-inflicted fear by puffing their chests and glaring in the direction of kids.

It just seemed so odd to see a grown woman acting the fool at the intersection of submissive white woman and racist fool avenues.

One of the white men started to talk loudly voicing his disapproval of the conduct of the black teenagers - none of whom were doing anything more than working out.

The kids ignored the rising hostility proving that -even in their tender years- they had mastered enough of the threat of whiteness to know how to survive inside the racist scenario that was unfolding.

I have thought about this incident over the years especially in circumstances where the fear of the black drug/thug has raised its ugly head.

Black women fair no better in these circumstances. A black administrator where I once worked ended up losing her job after a hod-podge investigation into her alleged anger issues that included unfounded accusations that she may have brought a gun to the workplace to threaten her white female supervisor.

It must also be pointed out that though more is said about the criminalization of black men/women there is significantly less said about brown or Latino/Latina criminalization and

almost nothing about Indian criminalization.

Even in well meaning articles like the one above where an attempt is made to say that the black body is not unique in facing the criminalizing wrath of whiteness there is not a hint of the manner in which Indian men/women are similarly reduced/erased.

Indian life is too often cast in terms of laziness, delinquency, and of course drunkenness. But for the most part Indian life is erased from the consciousness of American life.

And now the Muslim Other is reduced to terrorist threat, uncivilized raghead, hajjee, sand nigg*r, etc. Muslim women wearing the hijab have been beaten in malls and other public places across the US.

In recent days an Iraqi women wearing Hijab in San Diego succumbed to a brutal beating in an attack that bears the hallmarks of a racist hate crime. A note telling Shaima Alawadi that she was a terrorist and admonishing her to go back to her country was found close to her body. Mrs Alawadi was attacked in her home.

The consistent thread through all of this is triumphant whiteness which assumes its superiority in rising above the beast - any beast it imagines.

When and where will all of this end? How many more lives must be laid to waste?

I think we are no closer now to one humanity than we were in the years of transAtlantic slavery.

Whiteness clearly cannot just disentangle the racist stereotypes it uses to dehumanize the Other because it is the capital value on which white identity is predicated - it is also the means used to make racial oppression systemic.

This is so even though the better alternative is a greater humanity.

But then a greater humanity would mean that we are all just the same and such a radical idea would be too dangerous for whiteness.

And we are not free.

Onward!



BLACK HOLOCAUST

OVER 100,000,000 STOLEN



So we're all Black people, so-called negroes, ex-slaves! You don't like to be told that, but what else are you? You didn't come on the Mayflower; you came on slave ships, in chains, like a horse, or a chicken. And you were brought here by the people who came on the Mayflower, by the pilgrims and so-called founding fathers. They are the ones who brought you here!!

-Malcolm X

Cost of a Revolution



Soviet Government Propaganda Poster: Circa: Unknown

What's the price of your voice? What is the price of your political engagement? What is the price of your ability to express yourself in a system that does not provide for such expression?

I have come across this Occupy Vancouver costs likely to top \$1M article today by CBC and would like to reflect on what seems to be a lack of critical engagement from the mainstream media in limiting the scope of public discussion.

During the second week of Occupy Vancouver, when the mainstream media and city authorities were trying to create a narrative of public money spending on Occupy Vancouver, I have written of my frustrations. I would like to review those and expand of them here.

First of all, the numbers don't seem to add up. The second of week of Occupy Vancouver was dominated by the attempts of those in authority to shut us down by claiming that by the second week of our occupation, it has already cost the city \$500,000. Now, they claim that since October 15th, we have cost the public (from which we are all members) roughly about \$1,000,000. So which one of these is an accurate reflections of spending? Can someone put these two reports together with the relevant documents? One of them seems to be wrong... perhaps both... but we won't know until these two reports are investigated side by side.

Second, I am extremely troubled by the fact that while there are numerous issues Canadians should be discussing in terms of public money spending, a lot of these do not see the light of day. Why do we not start looking into the spending on police forces during the Arrest Cheney or Arrest Bush protests where the role of our police officers was NOT to arrest

international war criminals but actually protect them from Canadians who demanded justice? Where are these numbers? I was at Arrest Bush in Surrey only to see hundreds of RCMP officers circling peaceful protesters while not being able to answer why these officers would not arrest George W. Bush on behalf of Canadians.

Third, what kind of society and government officials decide to put a price tag on the political expression of its citizenry? Everything that is done costs money, think of sporting events? Why do we focus so much on the price of a social movement that aims at expressing Canadians' political frustration and demands? Why do we reduce everything to the matter of money? Why are we failing to add the social, political, environmental and even the real economic costs that this very Global Occupy Movement intends to address? Does everything in our lives, including our ability to freely and peacefully assemble, really comes down to budgeting?

We need a broader context for public discussion. We need to contextualize the spending that Occupy movements cost within the larger framework of what this global movement seeks to address. This begins with a paradigm shift: critical analysis of the real contexts from which governments and mainstream media extract the truth while isolating it from what helps determine the language and limitations of discussion.

I remember in a Communications lecture my professor once quoted a communication theorist: the media does not tell us how to think but rather what to think about. Our governments and media institutions are determining the depth and scope of what involves a much more complex relations of power and corruption. We need to start thinking outside the box imposed on us...



l'écriture sans calmants... illustrée [7]: This illustration by Rafa Garcia-Cano is registered and protected by "Agence des dépôts numériques - Certificat de dépôt horodaté N°D4538-1627 - 08/10/2011 à 17:11 sur l'interface sécurisée du site www.depotnumerique.com. " - © Pascal Uccelli 2011 - All Rights Reserved - No part of this publication may be reproduced.

- <http://www.l-ecriture-sans-calmants.net>
- <http://twitter.com/pascaluccelli>

**PEOPLES
POWER**



**APARTHEID
PARLIAMENT**

**DON'T
SUPPORT
APARTHEID**

TVL ANTI-PC

APNS Editorial: America Needs Social Justice, Not 'Soul Searching'

How the Obama Administration's Apathy Concerning Institutional Racism Has Passively Allowed the African in America to be Abused by the Far-Right

'Other races were engaged in seeing their cause through -- the Jews through their Zionist movement and the Irish through their Irish movement -- and I decided that, cost what it might, I would make this a favourable time to see the Negro's interest through.'

-- Negro World March 6, 1920

'If everyone waits until the other man makes a start, the messengers of avenging Nemesis will come steadily closer.'

-- Leaflet #1, 'The White Rose'

African people in the United States have always been aware of one particular truth that dare not speak its name, that ever since our ancestors were forced to set foot in the Americas, the lives and welfare of Africans have never mattered very much in the minds of the self-appointed 'Master Race'. In fact, the African's well-being doesn't seem to matter very much to quite a few assimilated American Blacks either. That is, unless or until their assumed social status fails to protect them from what traditionally happens to the rest of the non-White population. In particular the African population of the United States.

We saw this play out when culturally-conservative professor Henry Louis Gates Jr. was racially-profiled by the local Cambridge, Mass. police force inside his own home. To date, this was the first time that President Barack Obama had ever addressed a specific case of blatant police brutality against the African community. And it was the last time he has done so. And what a spectacle it was. Obama did poorly. So bad that he actually, perhaps by design, set American race-relations back at least 100 years. Back to the time-frame the US far-right is convinced when everything was perfect. A time when Negroes knew their place. We were fooled at first because of Mr. Obama's initial response to the Gates incident. It was accurate and fair, despite the vocal complaints from professional law enforcement and conservative White folks who wish to deny the obvious:

'Now, I've -- I don't know, not having been there and not seeing all the facts, what role race played in that. But I think it's fair to say, number one, any of us would be pretty angry; number two, that the Cambridge police acted stupidly in arresting somebody when there was already proof that they were in their own home. And number three, what I think we know separate and apart from this incident is that there is a long history in this country of African-Americans and Latinos being stopped by law enforcement disproportionately. That's just a fact'

-- 'Obama Criticizes Arrest of Harvard Professor', The New York Times, (7.22.2009)

It was great. Finally, someone in a position of power said what needed to be said whether the ever-so-delicate 'Good German' sensibilities of the general Euro-American population liked it, or not. As expected, the White supremacist ego of the country had a convulsion and demanded an apology for telling the truth. Police do racially profile Black and Brown men and it is entirely disingenuous, and cowardly, for any literate White American to deny that. And it is worse when professional law enforcement representatives do everything possible to refute the reality that is the utter failure of the system. In allowing their trade to be militarised for the benefit of the capitalist-class, the concept of law and order has rapidly devolved into a vicious subculture of officer entitlement, institutional overreach and a tuggish frame of mind that may take decades to correct. That is, if we actually started dealing with these issues as a symptom of a social system that is expanding its capability to forcefully marginalise traditionally marginalised and often targeted segments of the general US population.

The United States, the 'Land of the Brave and the Home of the Free', incarcerates more human beings of colour than any other nation on the planet. And that list includes the evil, omnipresent anti-western bogeymen we are all psychologically encouraged to politically-profile as the 'bad states' who frown upon the freedoms we dim-witted Americans, they say, 'take for granted'. Whatever its crimes, say the apologists for Pax Americana uber alles, the United States stands alone among the nations in regards to its own sense of Christian-centred morality. It is impossible, they say, for the United States to act in any way that could ever be considered 'wrong', 'misguided' or 'villainous'. America, they say, is perfect. And always was.

This illusion still persists. Even after the cold-blooded killing of Trayvon Martin, a young American African shot to death by a White man with a clearly White racist chip on his shoulder, America can do no wrong. This is

a lie. A conscious lie that continues in large part due to the abject silence of any credible political leadership in government, law enforcement or the African community. And thanks to that contemptible trait of establishment apathy, the case of young Bro. Martin has become aggressively morphed into a back-door rallying call by conservative White Americans, backed up by the right-wing noise machine and the National Rifle Association (NRA), to push for more legislation to support other potential Mr. Zimmerman's all across this already disgustingly gun-happy country. In brief, less than one month after yet another young Black man was killed simply for being Black, and in a moment of extreme social uneasiness, the true face of White America has finally emerged from behind the pink petticoat of its race-neutral facade.

If one did not know any better, an intelligent observer could logically come to the conclusion that there are a sizable number of White Christians on the far-right who are hoping for some sort of religious-based 'race war', a return to the type of widespread, indiscriminate racial violence that used to plague the US through most of its disheartening history. And although I can already hear screams of cerebral pain from many of my Caucasian and Negro readers, I wish to point out here that I am not at all alone in this view. The entire politically-conscious American African community feels this way and always has.

We are not fools. We are intelligent enough to know that the struggle against the African in the Americas has never ended. Our people have always been the target of White fears and insecurities. We understand that most Blacks simply allow White folks to pretend that nothing adverse is happening to us in this country. Because when the issue is raised, and no matter how conciliatory the approach, most White people, conservative as well as liberal, always vociferously argue that there is no problem with race in the US. In fact, most if not all Whites, when asked, will maintain that 'racism in America is over...', with the queer qualifier, 'if, it really ever existed in the first place'.

Nothing is happening because we say that nothing is happening. White makes Right. End of story.

This is the real essence of the Europocentric tragicomedy we are witnessing in the wake of the murder of another innocent Black life by another frightened White man who felt the need to use a gun in order to maintain the 'natural order of things'. The American layer cake of Europocentric bias: Whites on top, non-Western European ethnicities layered in accordance to 'industriousness' with the poor African half-human residing squarely on the bottom of the social pecking order. We know what this is. It is the 'Curse of Ham' actualised as a sociopolitical philosophy and a moral code for acceptable indignation. And the western capitalists ask why Marx angrily denounced religion as an enabler for human exploitation?

Theology is nothing less than a weapon in the hands of the White power structure and White American racism makes full use of its enormous, mind-numbing, logic-stomping power. Racism, the practise, denotes the institutional use of ethnic hierarchies and marginalisations, not attitudes. Ethnic bias is simply a symptom of what lies underneath the denial. White society and European individuals have always assumed the right to define both the practise of racism and the opinions surrounding the subject and this is a major part of the problem.

The victim isn't even considered. If this were not the case, George Zimmerman would be sitting in a tank and the subject of intense mainstream media condemnation. Instead, the usually boisterous Nancy Grace and the pathological lying arse-clowns of FOX non-News have chosen to sit this one out. Moreover, not one of the republican candidates who loudly argue the 'racism is done' line during all of the useless 'debates' they held have yet to make any public statement about the bias issues behind the Martin Killing. Ostensibly because they truly 'believe' that White racism is indeed over if we'd all just ignore it. Even when it kills innocent young Black people walking home with a bag of candy and an soft drink in a predominately White neighbourhood.

Oddly enough, the 'weapon' Zimmerman claims he needed to defend himself from was a container of Arizona Iced tea, a beverage named after the state that recently painted over the Indigenous and African faces depicted in a public mural in order to 'make it fair to Europeans'. This is the same state that fought to reject the federal Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. holiday and is currently mulling a holiday to honour the 'White People' who developed the region after it was forcibly stolen from Mexico on February 2, 1848 through the Tratado de Guadalupe Hidalgo. The fact that this was a blatant act of lebenstraumpolitik, Euro-settler style, is ignored in favour of using Manifest Destiny as the ideological basis for American Christian prosperity. This is why many US conservatives have openly praised African slavery as a force for economic good that provided work and a 'space' for Blacks in what they feel is an exclusively 'White' America.

This is why I say it is pointless and misdirected to raise the numerous Asian MOB's and their hapa offspring standing in the queue at the local Wal-Mart to 'prove' that racism in the Americas is over. They are not Americans according to the White supremacist's own stated rhetoric, but

they do help provide a 'softer' view of a thoroughly xenophobic nation that hates Asians almost, but not as quite, as they do Africans. If the reader doubts this, I might suggest a solid reading of the late Edward Said's theory of 'Orientalism' to correct your uncertainty about the political capital in having 'Model Minorities' married to 'Superior White men' when it comes to doing the business of imperialism in Asia. It simply and superficially makes things look much more benign and promising than they really are.

This also helps us correctly understand the political propaganda value of a Barack Obama, he makes the third re-colonialisation of Africa appear legitimate in the eyes of the Africans that are being exploited under his watch and his direction. And as expected, the 'race question' is never raised in regards to Africa, even by the far-right, as it would be if it were a White face that was fronting the multinational corporate takeover of the African Motherland. This was the plan from the very beginning. The issue of race isn't even raised as a fair question because for all accounts and purposes, Barack Obama is 'Black' and 'African' by blood (and birth if you wish to believe 'Birther Movement' nutcases like the Moldavian-born Orly Taitz) and therefore it is far 'better' that he be the face of AFRICOM and not a White man who will be challenged about his true motivations. It is a marriage of political convenience, not egalitarian respect. The simple fact is that Black politicians like Mr. Obama, Allen West, Herman Cain, J.C. Watts and Michael Steele believe in White America more sincerely than most White people do and are willing to do whatever it takes to maintain that world order. Even if that form of governance is ultimately detrimental to their own peoples. This is the Sambo character again, dancing a jig of acquiescence for a master than no longer legally owns him. He just feels loyal without caring enough to ask why. This is mental slavery and this is why the GOP says that the country should return to the good old days of racial segregation and African servitude. Because in replicating the past they believe that they can secure a future for White people in America, as if that future was ever really in doubt to begin with.

This is the true religion of the White man of Europe, not Semitic Christianity. This is also the religion of empire, a faith built on the purported 'purity' of the 'Master Race' theory. It is a callous theology of materialist self-indulgence that favours placing the ultimate blame for the existence of ethnic stratifications and exploitation upon the chosen victims of the injustice. White man's racism, White man's rules. They will decide if there have been any offences done to the African, not the victim lying on the ground, bleeding profusely from top to tail begging for relief if not justice. It is left for the White man to decide for he has greater knowledge about the African than the African does. Just ask him. He can tell you everything about his family groupings, their dances and rituals but not a thing about his heart and how it feels to be crushed at every turn by an angry White man hell-bent on racial purity and proving his manhood with volatile phallic symbols that serve no other purpose than the extinguishing of life with malice. This is why the African and the Indian in the Americas asks with sincerity, 'Who is really the savage here'?

White people and their pathetic sycophants maintain that 'if' a problem does exist, it is because non-Europeans (the victims) won't stop talking about the traditional social divisions that used to be accepted as 'normal' during a time when 'America was at its best'. Slavery, we are led to understand today, was 'good' for African people and even highly articulate assimilationist Negroes like John McWhorter, (who apparently has never met a White racist he didn't like) routinely argue in capitalist journals such as Forbes that 'Racism in America is Over' and that 'It's not a moral duty to keep it front and center' any longer in the national discourse. He has however recanted, somewhat, by identifying the killing of Trayvon Martin as a crime of racism. I say 'somewhat' because I suspect that he only bothered to say anything about this case because he, as an individual, can relate personally to the more assimilationist aspects of this particular travesty.

This is an important point. In all seriousness, does anyone in the aware African community really believe that McWhorter the conservative would have penned, 'What Trayvon Martin's Death Tells Us About Being Black In America' for the neoconservative New Republic if our brother Trayvon Martin had been a troubled youth from the Black, inner-city ghettos? Let us be frank for a moment. I wish to say here that I am not trying to single out Mr. McWhorter in particular, but it remains to be said that when racist acts, just like this one, have always occurred, African conservatives have been astonishingly silent. And generally when they do have something to say in front of a camera or a microphone, they join in on the 'bad Nigger' rhetoric by boorishly blaming the victims for their own oppression.

This is true. Even the centrist Puerto Rican newspundit Geraldo Rivera has already suggested that Trayvon Martin's hooded sweater was partially responsible for his being shot. An odd opinion coming from a former associate of the Young Lords Party. Other conservative news commentators are gleefully pointing out the legal muckwater that prevents the shooter from being held responsible and still others, namely the NRA, are simply calling him Zimmerman a 'hero'.

The killing of Trayvon Martin is the final straw. And its time to face it, the United States has a serious problem with racism whether it wants to hear about it or not. And it has a full-time hate programme against Black men. Don't believe me, read for yourself what White intellectuals have to say about it. This is the main problem facing in the US and always was. Again, don't believe this writer, just read the 1968 National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders report popularly known as the Kerner Commission. They said it, not the Black Panther Party for Self Defence or Malcolm X, the White House under President Lyndon Johnson said White racism was the main cause of civil unrest in the United States. And like McWhorter, some

Black assimilationists, the late Carl Rowan for one, had been saying for a long time that as long as White people continue to ignore the issue, the worse things are going to get: [WashingtonPost.com: The Coming Race War in America: A Wake-up Call - 1996]

Nothing could be clearer. The African in the Americas is in danger and always has been. And it isn't as if we have not seen this coming. Like most normal people we hoped that if we played our cards right, made the 'correct' decisions and minded our P and Q's that we, as a people, would be o.k. We were wrong. The concerted efforts made to move the Anglophone world towards the far-right since the Reagan-Thatcher years have received a reactionary boost from the racist fall-out that followed the election of Barack Obama. Faced with the reality of a genetic African male in the Oval Office, White America has been forced to live up to its lyrics and to accept the Obama family as their political and cultural representatives. And as we have seen, White conservative America, Canada, Australia and the UK have been having conniption fits about the 'loss' of White social power ever since.

The second Mr. Obama was sworn into office, the White world was forced to deal with its own lies. And we can see what happened. They say, not me, that they want to 'Take America Back', from the clutches of this non-American Kenyan Socialist-Nazi-Communist-Muslim who Glenn Beck says hates the White man to his core. And of course, if Beck says it, it must be true, right? This is the very same right-wing chap who publicly compared himself to the Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King while denouncing what the man actually stood, fought and died for. And he is not alone. Even the Obama administration got in on the act by releasing an official statement claiming that Dr. MLK, a committed pacifist, would have approved of the invasions and occupations of Iraq and Afghanistan. An open-ended insult this writer still has a hard time accepting actually took place under the watchful eye of a Black president.

When we hear the right-wing squeal about 'White Rights', we all know full well that what they really mean is 'Reinstate visible White Power in America, NOW!' And no one, and I mean no one in the United States of America, wants to admit that this is the immense alabaster-skinned elephant in the room with the Charlie Chaplin moustache defecating freely upon the concept of popular democracy cowering helplessly upon the floor. White leftists have not been of much either, as many are torn between passively supporting, as they always have, traditional White racism or taking the considerable social risks of standing up against it. A decision many well-meaning White people do everything possible to avoid.

This is not difficult to understand objectively. Most White Americans live in denial. This is why it is entirely appropriate to identify the denial of institutional racism in America with the widespread phenomenon of German denial following the defeat of the Axis Powers is more than fair, it is incontestable. White Americans, even in the wake of the Trayvon Martin shooting, are still grasping for straws, anything to rationalise both the murder of this young Black man and the dishonesty that claims White racist hate and violence is a thing of the past. Like the Germans who where caught-up in the social chaos of the Third Reich, Euro-Americans too suffer from a conscious selectivity where it concerns who their societies have treated as 'the Other'.

White people, and White Christian non-Catholic protestant Americans in particular, live under the delusion that they are the superior peoples of the world. This is their statement. This is their belief. And it is unshakable. And no amount of empirical evidence will suffice once one has resigned to review the entirety of the American saga exclusively through the lens of the White Anglo-Saxon Protestant narrative. It is two steps short of impossible to prove a negative to those who refuse to acknowledge the science of the authentic Euro-settler historical record. The Euro-colonialist mind simply cannot accept the truth about its origins because to do so would demand not an apology but an accounting for what is being done and to whom. And this is a discussion most White people, left, right and politically centre, do not wish to see take place.

Why is this? Mostly because those of us who harbour some degree of a moral centre have allowed themselves to be bullied into mute submission by the reactionary arrogance of the far-right.

When the neo-Fascists shout, bitch like Banshees and wail at the top of their lungs, the left-wing and the progressive lobbies collapse into puddles of ineffective rhetoric, explanation and compromise. Afraid to challenge the insanity of conservative paranoia or their, 'Our way or the highway' view of the world, the left allows the American brown-shirts to get their way. Which is foolish since conservatives in the US haven't had an original idea since Eisenhower left office. Their brain-dead political objectives are pushed through simply because they shout louder than the rest of us are and are always willing to engage in aggressive tactics. Slash and burn, just like Lord Nelson used to teach his underlings when he carried out English foreign policy on the high seas. 'Don't think, engage'. And like the political left, the American in the United States is losing by insisting on trying to be reasonable will a sociopolitical viewpoint that is fundamentally unreasonable by its very nature.

Capitalism, as it has been maintained, is systematic human-on-human exploitation. It is not a coincidence that when the US and NATO-supported rebels in Libya started their anti-government revolt, they began the offensive by killing Black Libyan citizens, residents and migrant workers on sight in Tripoli and other major cities. Reports of indiscriminate shootings, lynchings and sexual assaults against Black Africans have yet to be addressed by US or NATO officials despite numerous, credible reports that can be found in the international alternative news media of these

incidents. The White world is just not interested in the mass-murder of Black people. Specially when it is being done for their material and emotional benefit.

'Why do German people behave so apathetically in the face of all these abominable crimes, crimes so unworthy of the human race? ... The German people slumber on in their dull, stupid sleep and encourage these fascist criminals....[The German] must evidence not only sympathy; no, much more: a sense of complicity in guilt....For through his apathetic behaviour he gives these evil men the opportunity to act as they do.... he himself is to blame for the fact that it came about at all! Each man wants to be exoneratedBut he cannot be exonerated; he is guilty, guilty, guilty!... now that we have recognized [the Nazis] for what they are, it must be the sole and first duty, the holiest duty of every German to destroy these beasts.'

-- Leaflet #2, 'The White Rose'

In 1930's Europe, it was possible to choose between two extant personal positions. Either you stood with the burgeoning fascist power structures who were hustling your Roma, Jewish or Homosexual neighbours away in the night, or, you did not. You made a decision. Those that chose to actively organise against the rising tide of ultra-conservative political violence were routinely interrogated, tortured and killed. Members of the White Rose Society, the Munich-based student's organisation that produced and disseminated anti-fascist materials hoping to inspire a popular intellectual uprising against the fascists were beheaded. As were the members of the French Resistance by both the Nazis and the Vichy collaborators who were perfectly willing to turn-in their fellow countrymen to the foreign fascists.

In the wake of the Trayvon Martin killing, the question of who the African is to trust is paramount to our survival as individuals and as a people. It took a month before the first Black president could say anything about the case and so far all has said is that, 'If I had a son, he'd look like Trayvon...' O.k., now what? What exactly is the African community in America supposed to take away from that kind of a statement? This is not leadership. And it is a documented fact that he has had more to say about defending the State of Israel and the sanctity of White women like Callista Gingrich who may have been offended by a clever political joke by US actor Robert DeNiro than the White racist terrorism that is still happening to Trayvon Martin and his family. For the officials Obama administration, racism is a fiction, even when it is standing right in front of you. And after what Andrew Adler wrote and published in his newspaper, the Atlanta Jewish Times, on January 13, 2012, the entire Black community should be up in arms, but we aren't. Not about this. Mostly because the US news media cowardly ignored and intentionally under-reported this story and also because we do not care enough to pay attention to how we figure on the world political scene.

Let's be real here, there was more interest in Black America about hip-hop celebrity births and sports scandals at the time than communal African concern about what extremes the Israeli government and the Zionist bloc might be thinking about doing to push their zealous anti-Iran movement forward. This, despite everything Mr. Obama has done, including financially short-changing the American-African community, to pay for Israel's defensive and social welfare bills. And to be blunt, if Mr. Obama and the US government put 1/10th of the resources they expend, daily, to the State of Israel towards the upliftment of the Black American community things would be very different and the United States of America would be an even stronger nation. But White in the US have never really wanted to share what they have stolen from the American Indian through superior wit, violence and guile. America is theirs and exclusively theirs. This is their argument. And this is why George Zimmerman felt justified in killing our brother Trayvon Martin. His history as the son of an American White man, not the son of a Peruvian mother, told him it was the right thing to do.

It is clear from everything that has been gathered that Zimmerman sees himself as a White man, like many other assimilated Spanish-speaking

Indigenous people do once they have 'achieved status' in the US. Since the bar for acceptance is measured against Blacks racially and Native Americans in terms of the 'land question', once Latinos learn to say 'Nigger' with feeling and purpose, they too can be accepted as 'White'. The next time you see a Border Patrol agent with a thick urban-cholo accent intensely interrogating a suspected 'illegal', think about that. Just how many generations back was it when his family were illegally 'occupying' lands that belonged to their people for generations. Despite the new pseudo-scientific work being done to 'prove' that White Europeans had conquered the Americas first. The perpetual chess game of White supremacy it seems will never end. But we are all required to remember the first rule of the game: the White man moves first.

Black America needs social justice, not just a weak plea for 'soul searching'. And we are not being intelligent at all if we are still waiting for the Obama administration to protect the Black community from these kinds of abuses. He won't. If he won't stand up against racial profiling by US police why would he say or do anything meaningful about this Particular case? Did he say anything about the lynching of Ayanna Jones and how the Chicago police tried to frame the grandmother for her death? Again, Mr. Obama will do nothing more than talk about it and he never intended to do anything more than that. And truth be told, had not enough people from the progressive White community not challenged his silence on Trayvon Martin This is why the NAACP's junket to Geneva is a farce. Voting is vitally important. But if you are being racially profiled on your way to the voting booth, who cares about assimilationist-class concerns over working our way further into a thoroughly racist social system?

The NAACP could have gone to Geneva over COINTELPRO, police brutality, unfair and racist sentencing laws and other pressing issues that effect Black people daily, not just voting. How many of the nearly three million US Americans held in detention are African? There are thousands of Black and Brown men serving time, often in solitary, who never belonged in the correctional system. And there are still people who were caught-up in the Jim Crow-era who are still incarcerated even though the system knows full well that they are completely innocent. Because of Hollywood we have heard of Hurricane Carter, but his story is just one of many such stories in America. And this is not an area where the Black assimilationist lobby wants to focus their efforts. They have as much disdain for the Black poor as the White rich do. Perhaps even more than most in the African community are willing to admit to themselves.

Even then, if voting was really their main interest, why did they wait so long? They had an excellent case in 2000 and 2004 and several incidents before and after that involving Black vote blocking but did nothing more than lodge a series of complaints to the White House and the news media about 'unfairness'. Nothing else was discussed or pursued in regards to African community empowerment on this particular issue. Nothing. And Al Gore has been graciously forgiven by the Black community for presiding over a grand opportunity to serve social justice when he denied giving his support to the Congressional Black Caucus when they challenged the election's tainted results. All the CBC needed was one honest senator from any state in the union to affix his or her signature to the document and Gore simply used his gavel to silence the controversy once and for all.

[The Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. meeting with The Hon. Elijah Muhammad]

His randy boss in the WH fared little better when it came to supporting African and Indigenous Americans. As president, Bill Clinton passed the 'New Crimes Bill' Which basically criminalised every non-White male in America. Especially African males. This was before he promised the Native community that he would pardon American Indian leader Leonard Peltier. And didn't. So much for the race-neutral, Black-friendly, let's all just get along Clinton-Gore administration. They helped make mainstream White supremacy acceptable again. Being that Clinton was the 'First' Black President, his innate 'coolness' made people forget about the irreparable damage his policies have done to not just Africans in the US, but to Indigenous Tsotsil Mayans in Acteal, Chiapas State in Mexico; his role in the violent dismantlement of the socialist state of Yugoslavia; his anti-labour passage of NAFTA (North American Free Trade Agreement) and GATT (General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade) and the 2004 Haitian coup d'état that removed the elected president, Dr. Jean-Bertrand Aristide, from power and into exile in Africa. And later, just to add salt to the wound, Clinton and Bush the Younger headed up the UN effort to 're-stabilise' Haiti after the devastating earthquake of 2010, as if their actions as US presidents had not previously, to quote Kim Ives of Haiti Liberté created '...a political earthquake — with an epicenter in Washington, DC...' that deprecated the rebellious slave-state no less harmfully than 'le tremblera' did.

African destabilisation has always been a major component of the international capitalist and neoliberal programmes. And facts repeat themselves in regards to the US perspective where in concerns the their African brothers and sisters. There is great talk of concern, but none of it can be believed. The self-described White man, like the fictitious Devil of the Semitic faith, is a liar. He pretends to be a friend with a Bible in one hand and a revolver in the other. He promises everything and delivers

nothing but demands everything of you without precondition. This is the theme found in Kipling's ode to global White Power, 'The White Man's Burden' and most of the pro-capitalist editorials found in the New York Times and the Australian-Saudi owned FOX Network.

It is also the theme of the Black assimilationist movement who's primary job is to deny that the 'African in America' exists any longer as an identifiable group separate from the general 'American' population. This was exactly the type of argument Prof. 'Skip' Gates used to make. That is, until he was racially-profiled. Before this, Prof. Gates has actually made the argument for American African racial-profiling by US police. And even more damning is the fact that he had openly admitted after his negative police encounter that the biggest issue to him was not that the police would unfairly racially profile any random Black American, but that the police would dare profile 'him', an upstanding, highly educated, racially-mixed proud American Negro with mixed-race, i.e., 'White' children and a White Euro-settler ex-spouse. According to Mr. Gates, 'good' Black people like him should not be the focus of the aggressive police tactics that are routinely levied against the rest of the American African community. According to Mr. Gates, that sort of 'corrective' policing should only occur in the inner-cities and to those who refuse to accept their second-class citizenship in the United States. Later, on the popular housewife's choice television chat show 'Oprah', Mr. Gates claimed that he had 'moved on' after the incident and the much-lauded 'Beer Summit' and that he and the arresting officer (who falsified his report by adding a racial element that the 911 tipster did not mention), Sgt. James Crowley, are in fact biological kin. It seems they both are proudly descended from a common European ancestor, a long-dead ancient Celtic king.

Well, that's good for Prof. Gates, but it does absolutely nothing for the rest of us who do not feel that we should be any more forgiving than we already have been for the past 500 years. How much more is the African in the Americas supposed to be silent about racism while anti-African racism runs rampant across the twin continents? While Mr. Obama, Prof. Gates and the rest of the Black bourgeoisie may be content with their tenuous positions within the Euro-settler society, other Africans are raising their voices and saying what needs to be said. We need competent, thoughtful and dedicated African leadership. Not further appeasement of the racist elements of the political right or left wing. This is the modern struggle, the complete exposure of the plutocratic US political spectrum as a tool of anti-African and anti-Indigenous oppression in the Americas and abroad.

Neither Prof. Gates nor Barack Obama can claim to be warriors in the struggle against American racism. And it would be a complete lie if either of these men ever claims to have been ideologically in support of the anti-racist movement. That would be a huge deception and a blatant insult to those of us who never stopped fighting and demanding nothing more than what the American narrative promises to provide for all who work for it. We have never received our 40 acres nor the mules we were promised, (Special Field Order No. 15 - 1865) nor the basic 'respect' that comes with needing the 13th Amendment to abolish slavery, the 14th Amendment to give us citizenship and the 15th granted to extend voting rights to African males. A 'right' that has not been fully supported since Reconstruction. How many White people in the United States, Canada or Mexico will say that this 'legality' means nothing more than 'progress'? How many still argue with a straight face that the US Constitution's measurement of Black humanity with the 3/5th's rule, was not a statement on the humanity of Africans but simply an issue of mathematics:

'Representatives and direct Taxes shall be apportioned among the several States which may be included within this Union, according to their respective Numbers, which shall be determined by adding to the whole Number of free Persons, including those bound to Service for a Term of Years, and excluding Indians not taxed, three fifths of all other Persons'.

-- Article 1, Section 2, Paragraph 3 of the United States Constitution

How White Americans can logically disassociate the racist tone and tremor of this political compromise between two factions of colonialist, enslaving, Indian-killing White man and Europe's brooding issues with traditional Judenhass is beyond me. They are exactly the same. Exactly the same. And our sheepish African House Negro leaders know this, especially people

like Prof. Gates, who should have undergone an academician African awakening on his personal road to Damascus having finally had his 'Black Experience'. But instead, he chooses to return directly back to the hallowed halls of cognitive Valhalla where smart Black men like him gleefully spit-shine the boots of Nathan Bedford Forrest and take pride in it. The Black American, according to their perspective, is supposed to honour the European as the master of civilisation. As assimilationist-immigrant and former Untouchable-caste GOP intellectual Dinesh D'Souza put it, 'We who are minorities in this society owe these dead white men a debt of gratitude'. Of course, White America loves Black and Brown people who talk like this, especially the White 'liberals' who see it as their role to paternalistically tell us that things are 'getting better' all the time.

Why would White Americans not support those of African and Native descent who say forget the big jackboot on our collective necks? Why would they not favour a victim who revels in his or her sub-citizen status? Be serious, please. What oppressor does not wish for their victim to acquiesce to their oppression? To ignore this is to ignore the psychological power of institutional racism. And Negro libertarian support, what little there is, for Ron Paul's candidacy empirically proves this out, despite the vile anti-African racism found in his foul publication. If an American African can read the blatantly racist out-takes of the Ron Paul Newsletter and come away in awe of the Nazi-friendly Texas gynecologist after that, frankly, their 'Black Card' is in jeopardy of being revoked.

I only point out Prof. Gates and his encounter with everyday Blackness to address the total lack of connectivity in the African community between those who clam to lead us and those who actually live the life. Until 'Skip' was treated like a dollop of feces by a White racist with authority he didn't give a damn about the rest of us. The same can be said about Barack Obama when it comes to his silence concerning Oscar Grant, Sean Bell, Ayanna Jones, Derrick Jones, Troy Anthony Davis and now Trayvon Martin. Saying that the victim of a White racist gunman 'could have looked like he was your son if you had one' means absolutely nothing to the African parents who actually ARE losing their sons (and daughters) to the institutional racism of the American system every day.

This is not a minor issue. Not to the African community and definitely not to those American Blacks not connected to the Internet and mental colonisation of the mainstream media. And politically it says much about just how browbeaten the African is in the United States. For example, Prof. Gates should have become after his experience a staunch fighter for social justice for African peoples but he didn't. He continues to support the White racist status quo. Which is odd, since situations such as his have gone on launch world changing movements that did much to disturb the established ideas surrounding the 'natural' hegemony of European sociopolitical dominance.

Think about this: both the Hindu Indian holy man M. Gandhi and the secular Ashkenazim Jew Theodore Herzl were staunch assimilationists radicalised by personal episodes of racist rejection from the White folks they thought were their friends and 'equals', situations which lead them to found movements that would later earn their respective peoples some form of national independence. I say 'some sort' of independence because in reality, neither country is truly independent as both are ultimately reliant upon foreign-supplied remittances to just stay afloat. There is a reason for this. In the case of India, the English may have physically left the region, but their influence over the nation's economy lasts to this day. Further, there is good reason to understand the UK's role in the frightful India/Pakistan split that resulted in more than a million deaths to see that what Gandhi was trying to achieve was not going to be allowed by the powers-that-be without accepting certain provisions. Namely, the British and other European interests will maintain invisible control over what appears to be in public an Indian-run political system. Little has changed but the window dressing. The old masters are still in complete control. Just ask Monsanto.

In the case of the Secular State of Israel, their leadership has for the most part bought into certain ideas about what a functional Jewish national identity could look like. And in doing so, they have decided to make a bargain with the European Devil. Meaning, that the unspoken history of hardline Zionism's more fascist leanings in its zeal to gain a national homeland for Jews, not just in Palestine, but anywhere the European powers were willing to sponsor them, lead them to make certain harsh choices. And the question of how a Semitic people, forced by European invasion and occupation under the Roman Empire into the Jewish Diaspora, could later adopt and internalise the principles of White racism is never investigated. Thus, all paths to universal enlightenment are closed. Unless, one is willing to go further to understand that the 'fairness' we all assume exists in the US is patently false. Especially where it pertains to the twin issues of race and racism.

The purpose of my remarks in this commentary is not to criticise but to make a larger point. Leaders often make decisions that can be judged as right, wrong, foolish or even evil. But with the two examples I just mentioned, when one sets aside the issue of 'rightness' for a moment, it is clear that both of these leaders never strayed from their basic programmes of functional nationalism. They were seeking, by any means considered necessary, political and economic independence for their respective peoples because they were representing ethnic populations

either being internally occupied or otherwise marginalised by the major European powers. No one today would dare say that Indians did not have a right to reject British domination any more than any modern US politician who gives a damn about their career would condemn Zionism for its vicious war against the British Mandate. History is written by the victors. And victims are seldom allowed the privilege of documenting and promoting their own version of their own story.

This is true. However it is also true that freedom is often just a comfortable word for 'new oppressor'. Just ask anyone who isn't afraid to speak up in Iraq or Afghanistan what 'freedom' means these days. As Patrick McGoochan once said, 'Freedom is a myth' and the supposed 'post-colonialist' era bears this out. This is why it is entirely fair to point out at this junction that India, despite its projected image as a pious, peace loving Hindu utopia is in reality a hopeless mass of multi-dimensional hierarchies of ethnic oppression masked as religious devotion. Slavery, misogyny, territorial discrimination against the Indigenous and ethnic prejudice against Dravidians (the largest ethnicity found amongst the 'Untouchable' caste) still remain in force. Israel too cannot be said to be free of internal state oppression of ethnic, religious or political minorities. Women and even non-Ashkenazi Jews are biased against in the public square and are denied some basic civil rights and public accommodations by law. Looking at this an intelligent person just has to ask, where is the 'freedom'?

Similar situations can also be seen in the political independence of the Republic of Ireland as it came at the price of separation from the northern half of Éire. The African National Congress (ANC) too made the 'historic compromises' That it said were necessary to 'defeat Apartheid' demanded more from the victim's of social injustice than the perpetrators, enablers or enforcers of the 'old' system. We can also add the Philippines, (a virtual US military colony) Panama, (due to US colonialist domination over the American-made naval canal) and Cuba (in regards to Guantanamo Bay) to this list just to round out the framework of modern international neocolonialism before I move on to my fundamental argument. Barck Obama as an individual and as president of the United States is in no way an example of 'Black Power'. And the White people who are pushing that nonsense are either complete liars or the ugly intellectually-misshapen gnomes of the anachronistic universe. They believe that their nightmares of a Black and Brown planet are real. For this and for this alone they should be considered mentally-ill and asked to sit down quietly, out of the way and of the vision of normal, non-bigoted people who are simply trying to get along.

Racism and the discussion of it is a ridiculously difficult subject in the United States, mostly due to the jaundiced perspective most people have about the issue. This is a discussion that is being roundly ignored by the US general public and by the American African community in particular. And what I referring to now is the role of institutional pro-White bias in Black leadership. I point to the existence of what I term a 'Europocentric Propaganda Model, (EPM)' as the ideological infrastructure that defines what Noam Chomsky and Edward Herman have expertly describe as a generalised model of establishment propaganda. Further, they show that such manipulation lends itself to an establishment-directed framework of popular belief:

'In countries where the levers of power are in the hands of a state bureaucracy, the monopolistic control over the media, often supplemented by official censorship, makes it clear that the media serve the ends of a dominant elite...and aggressively portray themselves as spokesmen for free speech and the general community interest'.

-- 'Manufacturing Consent' (1988)

When Professors Chomsky and Herman wrote the above they were discussing the particulars of state-sponsored propaganda as it relates to the political and social news data fed to the reading public by corporate-owned information services. While the issue of racism was not directly focused upon in their analysis, it was made pretty clear that non-White and non-European victims of political violence are often portrayed as 'unworthy victims' while White or European peoples in similar circumstances are, as a general rule, typified as 'worthy victims'. News stories involving 'worthy victims' as the authors suggested are the stories we usually hear about in the mainstream press. While 'unworthy victims', the people deemed by the establishment to be either useless or expendable, are not given much coverage or even brief mention within the mainstream media. I mention their work in this area here because I feel that it is important for us all to understand that US media is no less propagandistic than any other nation's media organism. Especially when it comes to issues and situations surrounding the dynamic of race in America.

It is subconscious and unintentional, or it is conscious and thus purposeful when US news services habitually frame conflicts between Whites and non-Whites through a prism of Europocentric rubbish? And why isn't this a question? When we, as African people and as a Diaspora, soberly investigate the revolting details behind the killing of Trayvon Martin, we understand that this young brother was criminalised by both his assailant, the police and much the press simply because he was 'Black'. Nothing else. The EPM in full-effect. He is a victim of exactly the sort of institutional anti-African racism White Americans and assimilated Africans such as President Barack Obama and other 'Talented Tenth' Black elites swear up and down does not exist any longer. And as we watch this travesty work itself out in the corporate media, it becomes immediately clear that both journalists and local law enforcement have jaundiced the public's view of this situation by presenting a clean-cut vita for the alleged shooter, George Zimmerman, an image we now know to be patently false.

Further, and despite his ethnically-Jewish last name, the media has gone out of its way to depict him as a 'Mexican', as if that made any difference (when in fact his parentage is actually Peruvian). Why? Because this is one way of diffusing the argument in the public's mind that 'race' was at the centre of this abominable dilemma. What's worse is the troublesome fact

that the first genetic Black president has yet to speak to the African community about this issue in real terms.

His tepid 'soul-searching' comment meant nothing. Which is no big surprise since he has steadfastly refused, the entire time he has been in office, to empirically address institutional anti-African racism at all. Anywhere. Remember, this the US president, a Black man who has won the Nobel Prize for Peace, who boycotted two international conferences on racism in a row in 'moral' defence of Israel's racist policies against Indigenous Arab Palestinians and who is currently overseeing an expanding US military global footprint in 'post-colonial' Africa. And this is not counting the 'collateral' Arab lives brought to an abrupt end by the remote-controlled 'tinker-toys of death' circling above them each succeeding day the west imposes itself upon the world stage. In other words, this is a man who will not fight for the human rights of anybody other than White racist capitalists, hardline Zionists and the landed US gentry. Just as every US president before him, his priorities reside with the interests of the moneyed classes and the friends of the affluent. No one else. He is not interested in Black people. And he has shown his apathetic contempt for our community in public several times, most notably during his last address to the NAACP where he told us to 'Stop complaining' and 'Get to work'.

Who was he speaking to? Without the help of the Black community, many who were people who had never voted before, he would never have won the election. His community supported him. Not just because he is Black, but because he represented the best answer to our concerns as a community. But despite what the right-wing lie machine will say about Mr. Obama, he works harder for their interests than he does ours. In fact, he does nothing for our interests as a community or as a people at all.

And this is perfectly fair to say. Mr. Obama's record of overt personal and political support for the neo-fascist Zionist lobby, the Stepford-Gays of the politicised GBLTQ community and as of late, the jovial Friends of Ireland is a matter of the public record. As is the fact that these are communities he does not actually belong to or is particularly pledged to represent politically. We are told over and over again that the US president must represent all of the people of the nation and Mr. Obama has never missed an opportunity to remind Black Americans of this. Yet, he has had more to do and to say about the social welfare of every group in America except the African living and suffering in the Americas.

He did not support Shirley Sherrod, the Black farmers or the Black people of New Orleans after the Bush administration used Hurricane Katrina as an excuse for clearing out the city for urban redevelopment. And correct me if I am wrong, but the job of making the world safe for White racism should not be the role of the first African president of the US. It simply looks bad for the rest of us. Black, White and otherwise who thought, wrongly, that the beautiful African man that was given the Nobel Prize for Peace would do things differently.

In Black America the mood is grim. And contrary to the prevailing republican-promoted myth, reasonable, rank-and-file Africans in America are not happy with Mr. Obama's performance. Not a bit. Especially the more militant-minded brothers and sisters whose frustrations are often articulated in Kenté cloth, Afrocentric verse and the boisterous platitudes of our more grandstanding brothers clad in surplus camouflage jackets purchased from the very same places the right-wing White Christian end-timers do their shopping. The latter example itself is a direct result of, as veteran newsman Mike Wallace once put it, 'The Hate That Hate Produced', the response to White racism many White racist intellectuals try to use as a justification for their own false political arguments. And while both are two sides of an originally European-minted coin, White racists always avoid this discussion: if White racism exists, why is it unbelievable or inconceivable that there would be an angry Black reaction to their historical marginalisation? Are Jews hated today in the US for steadfastly struggling against Judeophobia? No, you don't. If there are fools shouting 'White Power', especially since White Power is already a fact of life and has been for more than five hundred years, why would it not be 'rational' for African people who are not by their own will defined as 'Black', not identify their political liberation as 'Black Power'? We never called ourselves Black. The White man re-named us the same way he disarticulated the identity of the Indigenous American. Native Americans are not 'Red'. We, inclusive of this writer, accept this appellation, like we accept the reservation system, begrudgingly, because we have no other choice. We must be Black and we must be Indian because that is what we are. And no matter what the White racists may do, that fact will remain.

And if we support Barack Obama it isn't because he is Black, but because we have more to fear from the reactionary primitives of the right-wing than we do the acquiescent lames of the democratic party Mr. Obama currently represents. Make no mistake, the US republican party has become the new face of the neo-Confederate movement. Of this there is no doubt. And each day the president ignores this pressing issue the worse the situation gets. Not just for his re-election chances but for the well-being of the country at large, and perhaps the world as a whole. Although the US news media is still doing its very best to ignore this, but Anders Behring Breivik, the Norwegian far-right Christian-Zionist terrorist was heavily influenced by the very same US neoconservative movement that

opposes the Obama administration simply because Mr. Obama is a Black man. Breivik's clear connections to the international far-right are being ignored or downplayed in the US press. And aside from the blogosphere, no one in America seems to think that his insane outburst of neoconservative rage has anything to do with the steady rise of far-right political violence across the European and American states. And almost no one said anything, including Mr. Obama, when Glenn Beck identified Breivik's victims as 'Hitler Youth'.

Yes, I know, I know: there is only so much one man can be expected to do. We have heard this all before. Many times before. This is the lament of the Black bourgeoisie and when balanced against equally measurable historical examples it is pathetic, useless and in the end, self-defeating. While media-savvy White conservatives are busying themselves making use of Mr. Obama's weak-arse Trayvon Martin case comments to accuse him of overt racism against Whites, the Black political and economic elite are praising the 'maturity' and 'sadness' they think they heard in his ineffectual remarks about the murder of an innocent African youth. They are backed in print by the 'liberal' White-owned and operated mainstream press who identify his remarks as a 'One for the history books' instead of an example of just how emasculated the African has become in the United States. The American African community at some point in time must come to grips with the fact that if Barack Obama as president will not stand up against vociferous White racism in his own country in defence of his own people, he will not defend anyone else's international, human or civil rights. As the British journalist Robert Fisk said some time back, 'No one listens to Obama any more'. And this latest episode of weak-kneed Black American politicking in the heat of neo-fascist hatred has only proved Mr. Fisk to be embarrassingly correct on all counts.

I wish to be clear here. This writer fully supports Mr. Obama's right to personally support whatever issues and causes he chooses. But the question many of us in the African Diaspora are asking ourselves is this: what is preventing the first Black US Head-of-State from speaking about the realities of White racism in the United States and across the entire African world? Why the silence? And, what is preventing him, if anything, now, from saying out loud what the rest of us already know, that the killing of Trayvon Martin was a racist act of traditional, White supremacist panic? This was without a doubt, a modern, White racist lynching by a violent, anti-African coward on a personal mission from his perception of the Semitic God to 'cleanse' his 'pure' White community of the 'Black Menace'.

Why is this Black politician so reluctant to speak with clarity about the number one social issue, aside from Native American affairs, that still haunts this land? Why is this not a question? Many will ask what gives me the right to say this. Especially those from the assimilationist Negro community who regard any and all grassroots African criticism of this president with a large degree of misguided left-wing scorn. In short, because I read, like most other international readers, that Israel's political leadership wasted no time in identifying, with justification, the recent shooting of a Jewish family in France as an act of blatant Judeophobia.

I have my issues with Israel as a political entity, but what they did was correct. As a political leader of Jewish people PM Binyamin Netanyahu he did what he was supposed to do, he lead. He made a public statement of support for the rights of his people to be free from racial violence. Even if you intensely despise the political actions of the State of Israel, one cannot fault Mr. Netanyahu for calling the attack what it was, an attack upon France's Jewish population. No one condemned him for his statements. Including the French President Nicolas Sarkozy who immediately cleared his calendar and penned a personal letter of regret to Israel's PM condemning the viciousness of the act. Now, it would have been fantastic if both Nicky and Bibi had mentioned the three uniformed French soldiers of African descent that were shot dead earlier this month, but like the neo-Nazi attack upon the US-based Holocaust Museum in Washington DC in 2009, the darker victims of far-right violence are not generally accorded the same level of respect as are their lighter-skinned brothers and sisters. Even in cases of blatant racist victimisation.

Just look at how the Trayvon Martin case is being handled. Were it not for the independent bloggers of all races and nationalities documenting the family's struggle for justice no one would know or care about this case. Just one more dead Nigger who was in the wrong place at the wrong time. This isn't my language, this is exactly how this tragedy is being described by our mentally-sick White brothers and sisters in the mainstream US media, [Hannity On Trayvon Martin Killing: 'Is It Possible That It Was Just A Horrible Accident?'] - mediaite.com: <http://tiny.cc/slogbw>]. I also know this because I have personally heard such language myself straight from the lips of White people who after saying such things vociferously declared themselves to be 'racist-free'. In fact, they went on in many of these incidences to accuse me of being an anti-White racist simply for raising the issue. I was supposed to simply accept that because the White man's word is bond. If Mr. Charley tells you that he loves you as he watches the thugs abuse you while sipping a lemonade on the porch the Negro is expected to believe him.

This is the Sambo mentality of the plantation days, the 'Happy Negro' that 'wants' Massa' to degrade him so that he could feel comfortable within his caste and within his own mind, the slave mentality that lingers in every living African everywhere we are in the physical world. The cross we bear is invisible to all except to those who carry it bravely and do their best to carry on with some degree of personal human dignity. This is a quality that

cannot be said of our selected leadership. Very few of them have an integrity that can match-up to the solitary African struggling to survive in a world dedicated to his destruction as a person and as a people. We survive because we have to. Not because any Negro in political power ever did anything to save us. Not one.

'This is equally true of the Arabs. Our Peace-mongers are trying to persuade us that the Arabs are either fools, whom we can deceive by masking our real aims, or that they are corrupt and can be bribed to abandon to us their claim to priority in Palestine, in return for cultural and economic advantages. I repudiate this conception of the Palestinian Arabs. Culturally they are five hundred years behind us, they have neither our endurance nor our determination; but they are just as good psychologists as we are, and their minds have been sharpened like ours by centuries of fine-spun logomachy. We may tell them whatever we like about the innocence of our aims, watering them down and sweetening them with honeyed words to make them palatable, but they know what we want, as well as we know what they do not want. They feel at least the same instinctive jealous love of Palestine, as the old Aztecs felt for ancient Mexico, and the Sioux for their rolling Prairies'.

– Ze'ev (Vladimir) Jabotinsky (The Iron Wall) 1923

What does any of this have to do with Binyamin Netanyahu? This: my point here is that when Mr. Netanyahu stood up and defended his community against indiscriminate ethnic violence, he was respected. But to date, President Barack Obama, the titular leader of the African Diaspora, has said absolutely nothing of substance about the Trayvon Martin case nor any other incident of aggravated violence of discrimination against African people in the US. Not one case. Unless you count the abortive, 'Beer Summit' which only showed how even the Black elite can be rudely manhandled by bigoted, power-mad police officers in upscale neighbourhoods, Mr. Obama has remained eerily quite about the role of racism in American life. Netanyahu on the other hand seeks every possible opportunity to remind the world that everybody hates his countrymen just because they are Jews. This is true. And while I will for the record defend his right to his particular perspective, I have to state here that there is more empirical evidence for widespread global anti-Africanism than for modern Judeophobia.

This is not to say that hatred against Jews is done away with, that would be harebrained to even suggest, but it is a grand falsehood to generalise the entire world's population as anti-Jewish when the whole planet has been turned upside-down not only just to establish the State of Israel, but to maintain it at a ridiculously high human and material cost. No other country on Earth receives the considerations and ethical leeway the State of Israel gets from the world international community. Israel stands alone amongst the nations as a country that will not hesitate to resort to whatever actions it claims is necessary, ethical or otherwise, to 'protect itself' from the widespread hatred of Jews. As a nation-state Israel is allowed to do whatever it pleases. From instigating military conflicts against rock-throwing youths to cold-blooded assassinations on the high seas, Israel, apparently, can do no wrong.

Any and all criticism against the state is frowned upon, no matter how barbaric the nation's policies are to the world's peace. Israel, apparently, can do no wrong. And due to expert manipulation of the mainstream corporate information media by the zeal of the Zionist lobby, one would believe that it is Israel, and not Palestine, that is the country being occupied, its people forced to live without water, employment, basic facilities or safety from White racist terrorism. Like the African in the Americas, the Indigenous Palestinian is not seen as a real human being. And he is being purposefully prevented from developing a mindset that is conducive to building a healthy and functioning society for himself. And like the African, the Palestinian struggle is not just to be seen, but to be heard and to be respected as a fellow-member of the human family, not as a human punching bag for the reactionary White racist sociopolitical mob. We all have a right to peace. Not just some of us.

This dear reader is the ethical crux of the debate. Is the African in the United States a human being or not? And if so, why doesn't the world's most powerful Black man just say so without first genuflecting to the White racist conservative bully-boy pressure groups of the GOP? Barack Obama is the US head-of-state, he has the power to guide the nation's consciousness towards not just a recognition of the issue of anti-African racism but an accounting for what has happened and to whom. And this simple and humanistic demand for justice, not revenge, but simple justice, makes White men urinate in their shoes. They can't stand it. The live it fear of it. And it is this fear, the fear of the unknown, that drives them to act like non-committed Klansmen in search of a cross to light because they still fear what they cannot see in the dark.

[The Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. meeting with Bro. Min. Malcolm X]

The African does not hate the White man. I repeat, the African does not hate the White man. What we hate is how many White people treat us simply because we are Black people. To expect us to think otherwise is to assume that we are not intelligent enough to know when we are being offended. Just because Barack Obama and others of his ilk do not speak to these issues does not mean that the rest of us are mute on these subjects. This is what we discuss around the dinner table. And those of us who know our history and understand the ideological roots of the struggle cannot in any way not call Mr. Obama into account for his lethargic and soft-soaked response to the Trayvon Martin killing. His tepid remarks are being twisted like a pretzel by the media vultures of the neoconservative propaganda mills and frankly I, for one, cannot believe that he and his advisers did not see this coming. If he had spoke to the issue clearly by identifying racism as the root cause of the tragedy instead of trying to sound profound, he would not have left room for the republican reality-changers to radically re-articulate what little he did actually say. Even Mitt Romney and Rick Santorum had more competent things to say on behalf of the family of the victim, but they are not representing the African community across the world, Barack Obama is. And his leadership at this crucial moment in American African history is severely lacking in both credibility and common-sense. Meaning, his failure to stand-up for the African community in the face of blatant racism imperils the entire Black community. If George Zimmerman, who the press wants us all to know comes from a Peruvian/European parental mix with a family that includes, gasp, Black folks, who y'know, is just a regular chap who just wanted to protect his community from the 'bad people'. No harm, no foul.

We all like to think of the United States as a nation that is uniquely free of the political mayhem and social oppression our leaders tell us the US must struggle against to preserve our freedoms. Afghanistan was lured into submission with a passion found only amongst the most sadistic of Catholic schoolmasters. If it was standing it was demolished. Iraq suffered a similar fate. No WMD's were found. No matter. The rape rooms and torture chambers were re-opened the moment Saddam left town and whatever assassination programmes the Hussein government were running were replaced with new ones that suited the changing circumstances. Now Africa is host to the US military who claims to be in search of more 'bad Niggers' such as Joseph Kony, leader of the Lord's Resistance Army (LRA), another band of crackpot Christian end-timers who practise a Scorched Earth policy of slash and burn upon all those they regard as the unbelievers and dissenters of Africa in the name of Jesus the Christ. Its all total madness, but this has not stopped the European powers, China and Iran from taking advantage of the fact that Africans are not, and never have been, in charge of Africa.

We have always been at the feet of outside forces. Not because were inferior but because we never used warfare as a way of life. This is not to say that Africa did not have internal conflict, it did. So has Europe throughout its entire formative history. But is Europe judged today on that aspect of its history? No, it isn't. But the African is still to this day being judged by all White men around the world for 'allowing' ourselves to be enslaved and defeated by them multiple times over the centuries. This is the reality of the situation. And this is why White men react so strongly when Black men stand up and speak for themselves as 'men'. This was the meaning and purpose behind being called a 'Boy' on the plantation yesterday and in the workplace today. A cognitive reminder of the Dred Scott decision: [Dred Scott v. Sandford, 60 U.S. 393 (1857)] that told

Africans you can never be 'American' no matter if you are slave or free because:

'It would give to persons of the negro race, ...the right to enter every other State whenever they pleased, ...to sojourn there as long as they pleased, to go where they pleased ...the full liberty of speech in public and in private upon all subjects upon which its own citizens might speak; to hold public meetings upon political affairs, and to keep and carry arms wherever they went'.

– Dred Scott v. Sandford - Wikipedia - <http://ow.ly/9RUeV>

As the late US president John F. Kennedy said before his bright young light was dimmed in a hail of hatred for a man who attempted to bring reasonable domestic and foreign diplomacy to his country, we can change laws, but laws cannot change what is in a man's heart. The need to pass legislation to guarantee race-neutral social justice is proof that there is social injustice in the first place. This is why the far-right should be ignored. They reject history, science and common-sense and should not be taken seriously except as a barrier to American progress, peace and fair-play. This is not much to ask for considering everything that has happened between Blacks and Whites that can be attributed to the negative in favour of White prosperity and hegemony. As the post-Apartheid Reconciliation Hearings in South Africa has proved, the African is capable of forgiveness and compassion for those who have harmed us.

Only an ignoramus would suggest that the African was 'supposed' to be gracious to the White South African. The African is forgiving because that is our nature. This is not a metaphysical argument as much as it is a matter of the established historical record. We have rarely, despite our often dire circumstances, decided to take revenge against our oppressors. We want and have fought for peace with White people just as strongly as we have struggled for equal education, housing, employment and social justice. And we also want respect. Because we have earned it with our blood, sweat, tears and our lives in defence of 'The American Way'. And we deserve better than we have received for our efforts to realise our destiny in the United States while suffering the stings of racist oppression and injustice. No White man, no matter how blinded he may be by his own bigotry, can deny us the right to state that fact. He simply does not have that right.

Your 'America' would not exist without us and the genocide of the Indigenous Nations Europeans violently liquidated to make room for themselves. The fact that there are any Natives left is an accident of purpose. We were not supposed to survive. Neither were Africans after the direct-slavery era. So they made use of us in other ways, as Buffalo Soldiers to help them clear-out the prairies of 'Bad Indians' for the Euro-Settlers; in Cuba and the Philippines to challenge Spain for their colonial territories and today in Iraq and Afghanistan to secure mineral and petroleum resources for the corporate personalities that make up the 1%. What has the African gained from these ventures? Nothing. Nothing but a lap-full of more problems brought to them courtesy of the 'Master Race' and the unfaithful, enabling bastards of our own communities who are willing to sell-out their people and their entire continent for a few handfuls of gold coins and a chance to be king amongst the common rabble.

Should an African speak intelligently, on subject and with an eye towards intellectual and cultural rather than militant tactics, he or she is neutralised. They are removed from the scene leaving the tin-gods of the thug world to take the reigns which only serves to perpetuate the colonialism albeit in a different form. The major visible players are Black, but the puppet-strings are always controlled by non-African hands. And the more militant these actors act and sound the more justification they figure is provided to explain the need for European intervention and the international calls for 'control' of the continent.

This is the state of the world. And if this is the 'post-colonial' period, why is this still an issue? This was my point in raising the Zionist penchant for swift, reactive action when they believe that their interests are at stake. They waste no time and they set their own rules of engagement. Because of this, they have a country. It isn't a just situation, but it exists nonetheless. And while I deplore the methods the Zionists used to attain

TheAngryindian

their state, it must be admitted that it was in essence not their stopping to violence, which was deplorable as were their ties to the German Nazi apparatus, but their steadfast refusal to submit to their own demise as a people. They refused to lay down and die for the European fascists and the ultra-conservative elements of Catholic Church just because they were told to. And let us not pretend here that this story of resistance was always honoured by the goyim either. That was the whole point behind the cry, 'Never Again'. Because most non-Jews simply did not want to hear about the European Holocaust after the war was over. And most Christians, if you believe the fundamentalist Zionist argument, are by nature anti-Jewish and is this intrinsic hatred, it has been suggested, that is inseparable from the core political or theological views of the western paradigm.

I leave it to the reader to judge whether or not that concept is true and I warn that it would be a good idea to also consider the grey areas as well. No issue is monolithic. Especially the issue of racism. And it is always best practise to look beyond the reductionist Europocentric argument of racism as an issue of attitudes and understand its true meaning as a descriptor for systemic social engineering on the basis of race. In short, the practise of racism is Eugenics for political purposes. And if it was wrong for the fascists of the last century, it is wrong in the United States today as well.

It goes without saying that there are complications that will come with declaring one's independence from European oppression. White's will reject it. They will belittle it and accuse us of disloyalty to the American cause. But what what can be more 'American' than standing up for one's natural, civil and human rights? Is that not the justification given for forcibly returning the popular 'Arab Spring' that began in Africa towards a long winter of neoliberal discontent? Can the African too say 'Never Again' without being accused of hating his oppressor? Never again should we have to hear about a case like Trayvon Martin's. And never again should we accept Black leadership that does not represent us or our interests as a people.

Let's be serious with each other dear reader, can you really imagine leaders of the Jewish community waiting around for a solid month before they finally make a few weak statements about an incident of blatant anti-Jewish racism? Of course not, because you and I both know that such a situation is virtually impossible. The hasbara-jackals simply would not stand for it. You know this as well as I do. But in the case of brother Trayvon Martin, all the African in America can look forward to is another round of shoe-shuffling, chitterling-dripping shuck-n-jive from our 'leaders' about how sad this incident is and why we all need to come together to deal with it instead of calling a spade a spade. No analysis, no demands for justice, just more shameful apologies to White America for what George Zimmerman is putting them through, instead of a frank discussion with the country about what White racism in America is putting us all through.

The African on the continent and in the Diaspora has never asked for anything more than what the world's Jews have demanded for themselves throughout history: to be themselves without apology; free from violence and White Christian racist terror. Are they wrong for this? No. But it is unfair to consider that some groups deserve to defend themselves against overt ethnic bias while others do not have the right to do the same thing as a matter of ration self-preservation. No one can deny that the world's Jews are not strongly defended today by their political leadership no matter where they are in the world and no matter what the cause. Right or wrong. And Africans in the Americas and elsewhere are no less human and no less deserving than any other people. We are now demanding the very same rights of survival that every other human group claims. We too have a right to be free from the evils of racism in our own country.

And the US is our country. We should not, in 2012, still have to remind White America of this. We die on the battlefields of today for big oil just like the White guys do. We pay our taxes -- when we are allowed to have jobs -- and we contribute to the development of the United States because we live here. We care about America. Only we get less respect for our loyalty and dedicated service to a country that has hated us from the first time we came into contact with each other. When the very religious Christian English gentleman Sir John Hawkins captained 'The Good Ship Jesus', (the name of the first slave ship dispatched to 'The New World' to Africa, he admitted that he achieved the feat of capturing more than 300 Africans as cargo, 'partly by sword and partly by other means'. He felt completely justified in making commodities out of human beings and history has been kind to him. What he did has been deemed 'normal' for his time therefore, he has been absolved of racist responsibility for his actions. If a Black man had written this history, how do you think it would have turned out?

No matter what White Power advocates like Newt Gingrich might say to reinvigorate his hopelessly bigoted base, [Gingrich: Obama's Comments On Trayvon Martin Were 'Disgraceful,' 'Appalling' - Frances Martel: <http://tiny.cc/7npqbw>] White people are not the victims in the Trayvon Martin case. Black people are. And we are tired of half-arsed Negro politicians who cannot stand up to craven bigoted knuckle-draggers like Newt Gingrich, (the late) Andrew Breitbart serial conservative radio liars such as chat radio host Curtis Sliwa and FOX non-News propaganda

media darling Sean Hannity who earns a handsome living by pumping racial discord and uncertainty across the airwaves for the financial and political benefit of his Australian-Saudi neocapitalist handlers.

White racism is always given a front-seat on the frontpage of every newspaper in the White world because that is the paradigm of the world we live in. Would editorialists such as the respected Howard Kurtz dare question the very Zionist republican Eric Cantor, the only Jew currently holding a seat in the US Congress, about his overt dedication and devotion the the Israeli government the way he has challenged the journalistic integrity Rev. Al Sharpton? No, he wouldn't. This is true and because of that, this too needs to be said: Africans too 'Have a God-given right to exist' just any other people does. And it is high time for our leaders to start addressing the problems that plague Black people by talking straight and clear to those to openly threaten the safety and well-being of the Black community in the US and African people everywhere the United States has influence, which, is everywhere.

It is not and never has been fair to blame the victims of racism for the existence of the racism itself. And to date, this has been Mr. Obama's operative line. That time has passed now that the killing of Trayvon Martin has happened. It is now time to sing another song, one of freedom for the Black man and everybody else, not just for the few. It is only fair. Not just to the surviving relatives of Trayvon Martin, but to the rest of the African family as a whole. We are Mr. Obama's people, whether he wants to accept us or not. That is the price of being Black in a White man's world. And we are never allowed to forget that.

It is time for Mr. Obama to step up and represent the African community in the United States. We have always supported him, even when we have disagreed with him and his policies. Our nickels and dimes helped pay for his campaign too, but apparently that was not enough to earn us his support in times of dire community crisis like this one. Our brother, Trayvon Martin, is dead. Killed by a White man who felt he had a right to take a Black life he felt did not deserve to be in his neighbourhood.

Jim Crow is supposed to be over. And this is not the time for political or personal cowardice from the most powerful Black man in the history of the world. Racism in America is a sickness. And in trying to pacify the reactionary bigots of the US far-right and of the republican party who have co-opted American politics for their own nefarious purposes, Mr. Obama is enabling them to further their ugly agenda of social retardation at our expense. The African community can no longer accept weak leadership from the Black bourgeoisie who are more concerned with their own social mobility than with communal empowerment for the African in America.

The past the republicans talk about was hell for the African in America. And we refuse to return. And if White folks don't like that, that is too bad. For them. We are human beings and our lives have as much value as any other life. And Trayvon Martin did not deserve to die because a White man was afraid of him because he was Black. That is the reason Trayvon Martin is dead. And that is a sign of the inner-cowardice of the racist. He is afraid of the world and he is too confused to understand why he is afraid. So he simply hates. The Black man scares the White man. This is true. If this were not true, White people and their societies would be radically different. And we would not be having this discussion, would we?

Mr. Obama and the Black elite must step from behind the curtain of polite non-confrontation with America's traditional history of anti-African bigotry and deal with it for the sake of the nation if not for Black people. We have seen the results of fascism and only a fool or a nihilist would want the same thing for the people of the United States. Black leaders must be leading Black people or they should step aside. This is not too much to ask for or expect from those of us who claim to serve in the public interest. The fact is that they have shown a marked hesitancy to speak up for African people, but they will speak on behalf of others who they think can help their class rise into higher levels of political or economic power. This is clear and it would be appreciated if this were admitted, but this is not likely to happen any time soon.

It is also clear that their reluctance to speak on behalf of their own social group is a visible lack of respect for the African community by Africans that is being observed and noted by the White racist lobby. They know the price of a contemporary Uncle Tom. And because of this, all African people everywhere pay a heavy price. When a Black man speaks and acts, he represents all Black men. So when a Black man cowers in the face of blatant anti-African racist repression, it is more than insulting to the entire African family in the US and abroad. Would Mr. Obama and the CBC ever snub AIPAC this way? No, they wouldn't, would they?

It is time to step up and defend your people against White racism in America, Mr. Obama. If you cannot do that, you do not deserve our support. Nor our vote.

Our lives matter too. And we should not have to beg for your support in our time of need.

Further Reading:

--
 13 French paras shot dead by moped-riding gunman in Montauban | Mail Online <http://ow.ly/9Muhi>
 14 Trayvon Martin's shooter accused of past domestic violence | The Raw Story <http://ow.ly/9NSWF>
 15 Lawrence O'Donnell On Trayvon Martin: 'Evidence Of A Police Cover-Up' (VIDEO) <http://ow.ly/9NT42>
 16 McCain Lies About His Support For MLK Jr. Day in Arizona | Crooks and Liars <http://ow.ly/9QbXp>
 17 Somebody Told A Lie - The MLK speech that's never quoted - YouTube <http://ow.ly/9T762>

Notations:

--
 1 Racial Profiling: Definition | American Civil Liberties Union <http://ow.ly/9PaFa>
 2 Barack Obama's support falls among white voters - Telegraph <http://ow.ly/9p6ZG>
 3 'Racial Profiling Problem Does Not Exist', American Police Beat Magazine, [http://ow.ly/9pBRP]
 4 The Sentencing Project News - Report Finds Sentence of Life Without Parole More Likely for Black Teens If Victim Was White <http://ow.ly/9PaYM>
 5 The Sentencing Project News - Incarceration <http://ow.ly/9paST>
 6 Air Force Suspends "Christian Just War Theory" Class for Missile Officers <http://ow.ly/9PqoS>
 7 The NRA Wants the Law Protecting Trayvon Martin's Killer in All 50 States | Mother Jones <http://ow.ly/9peyR>
 8 Neal Boortz: "We Got Too Damn Many Urban Thugs, Yo ... We Need More Dead Thugs" In Atlanta | Media Matters for America <http://ow.ly/9peO9>
 9 In Re Trayvon Martin: How the NRA Pushed the Right to Pack Heat Anywhere | Mother Jones <http://ow.ly/9pqh6>
 10 Tea Party Compared to KKK by the Rev. Walter Fauntroy - ABC News <http://ow.ly/9PQF1>
 11 Why Has Nancy Grace Been Silent About Trayvon Martin On HLN? - Democratic Underground <http://ow.ly/9q9al>
 12 FOX News Only Major Media Outlet Ignoring Trayvon Martin Case | News One <http://ow.ly/9q9HQ>
 13 Prescott, Arizona mural altered to lighten children's skin tone | Crooks and Liars <http://ow.ly/9qaha>
 14 Recalling Arizona's struggle for MLK holiday <http://ow.ly/9qabq>
 15 Ariz. Lawmaker Cecil Ash Suggests a Holiday for White People | Video | TheBlaze.com <http://ow.ly/9qcau>
 16 Mexican-American War - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia <http://ow.ly/9QdA9>
 17 Michele Bachmann Salutes the Upside to Slavery - Forbes <http://ow.ly/9q5Qm>
 18 Alabama State Senator: Slavery Good For Blacks - Orlando Sentinel <http://ow.ly/9q6sW>
 19 Racism In America Is Over - Forbes.com <http://ow.ly/9q735>
 20 The Trayvon Martin case and the legal experts | Washington Times Communities <http://ow.ly/9Qq6m>
 21 John McWhorter: What Trayvon Martin's Death Tells Us About Being Black In America | The New Republic <http://ow.ly/9qeqT>
 22 Dan Gross: Trayvon Martin's Shooting is NRA's Vision for America <http://ow.ly/9SxVt>
 23 Craigslist comment: 'Zimmerman a hero' <http://ow.ly/9QhIr>
 24 Tim Wise - Trayvon Martin, White Denial and the Unacceptable Burden of Blackness in America <http://ow.ly/9PVEem>
 25 Primary Document: National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders (The Kerner Report), 1967 <http://ow.ly/9QkOR>
 26 News Hounds: California Gunman Admits Glenn Beck Influence; Both Beck and the Tides Foundation Double Down <http://ow.ly/9PQmt>
 27 Guard dies after museum shooting - US news - Crime & courts - msnbc.com <http://ow.ly/9PRAZ>
 28 Glenn Beck: Obama Is a Racist - CBS News <http://ow.ly/9pfwY>
 29 How Time magazine enables Glenn Beck's lies | Media Matters for America <http://ow.ly/9PaZA>
 30 Glenn Beck Compares Himself to Martin Luther King <http://ow.ly/9pg5G>
 31 Pentagon official: Martin Luther King would support Iraq, Afghan wars | The Raw Story <http://ow.ly/9pgol>
 32 Trayvon Martin shooting: Black leaders press White House - Joseph Williams - POLITICO.com <http://ow.ly/9qmCkh>
 33 Obama defends policy toward Israel in speech to Jewish group - The Washington Post <http://ow.ly/9QYOj>
 34 Gingrich to DeNiro: 'The Country Is Ready' for a White First Lady | Video Cafe <http://ow.ly/9QYeB>
 35 Robert DeNiro's Joke 'Inappropriate', Says Obama Campaign <http://ow.ly/9qmuD>
 36 thegrio.com: GOP rep: Associating with Obama like 'touching a tar baby' <http://ow.ly/9S2Zt>
 37 Pitting minorities against one another: Zimmerman is half-Peruvian & speaks Spanish - Democratic Underground <http://ow.ly/9SC9p>
 38 Radical theory of first Americans places Stone Age Europeans in Delmarva 20,000 years ago - The Washington Post <http://ow.ly/9SDv9>
 39 Pres. Obama says Trayvon Martin incident is a tragedy, calls for 'soul searching' | NJ.com <http://ow.ly/9qna8>
 40 Aiyana Jones Police Raid Fallout: Lawyer Says Detroit Cops Are Trying to "Cover-Up" Child's Killing - Crimewriter - CBS News <http://ow.ly/9S3ui>
 41 The Black Commentator - Revisiting King's 'White Moderate' <http://ow.ly/9QKKH>
 42 Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act: Information from Answers.com <http://ow.ly/9QKSk>
 43 Clinton refuses to pardon Leonard Peltier <http://ow.ly/9QQwW>
 44 Clinton Honored As 'First Black President' at Black Caucus Dinner -- 10/01/2001 <http://ow.ly/9QZ04>
 45 Breaking the Silence: The Mexican Army and the 1997 Acteal Massacre <http://ow.ly/9QZIP>
 46 Terror in Chiapas: The Massacre in Acteal and the Future of Mexico <http://ow.ly/9QZON>
 47 Michael Parenti: The Rational Destruction of Yugoslavia <http://ow.ly/9QZtb>
 48 North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) <http://ow.ly/9QZZQ>
 49 General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia <http://ow.ly/9QZEX>
 50 Exclusive: Aristide and His Bodyguard Describe the U.S. Role in His Ouster <http://ow.ly/9r0IB>
 51 Bill Clinton Named New UN Envoy to 'Stabilize' Haiti, a Country He Helped Destabilize - May 24, 2009 <http://ow.ly/9r08i>
 52 Conservative Activists Rebel Against Fox News: Saudi Ownership Is 'Really Dangerous For America' | ThinkProgress <http://ow.ly/9r232>
 53 Racial Equality Long Way Off, Say Speakers Led by Skip Gates - 8/21/09 - Vineyard Gazette Online <http://ow.ly/9rj8>
 54 AfricaSpeaks.com - The Peculiar Class Solidarity of Barack Obama and "Skip" Gates <http://ow.ly/9reyd>
 55 ZCommunications | Skip Gates by Paul Street | ZNet Article <http://ow.ly/9rfaf>
 56 Barack Obama, Skip Gates, James Crowley White House Beer Summit 2009 | SwampLand | TIME.com <http://ow.ly/9rfhj>
 57 Nightmare on Ware Street « The Reality-Based Community <http://ow.ly/9rfmY>
 58 GENOCIDE AGAINST BLACK LIBYANS | Willis Shalita <http://ow.ly/9pewl>
 59 'Myths About the Founding' (NPR Commentary) By Dinesh D'Souza. <http://ow.ly/9rh6s>
 60 Ron Paul signed off on racist 1990s newsletters, associates say - The Washington Post <http://ow.ly/9rhWA>
 61 Ron Paul's Old Newsletters Present New Woes | Black America Web <http://ow.ly/9rkIM>
 62 Monsanto in India - SourceWatch <http://ow.ly/9RI4X>

63 Nazi & Zionist Collaboration - Jews Against Zionism <http://ow.ly/9rkOq>
 64 British Uganda Programme - Wikipedia <http://ow.ly/9H8N>
 65 The Bombing of the King David Hotel: 'The Bombing of the King David Hotel' <http://ow.ly/9RldK>
 66 John Pilger on South Africa: has the ANC sold out? | Green Left Weekly <http://ow.ly/9RJIJ>
 67 Trayvon Martin case: Friends come to George Zimmerman's defense, saying he's not racist, 'fears for his life' - report - NY Daily News <http://ow.ly/9SEVs>
 68 The War in Libya: Race, "Humanitarianism," and the Media - Maximilian Forte - Open Salon <http://ow.ly/9peZU>
 69 Hawaiian Gardens Gang | Dozens arrested in crackdown on Latino gang accused of targeting blacks - Los Angeles Times <http://ow.ly/9rIE3>
 70 Obama Fronts for White Supremacy with Boycott of Durban Conference on Racism | Black Agenda Report <http://ow.ly/9rILH>
 71 Josh Feldman: Obama To Congressional Black Caucus: 'Stop Complaining, Stop Grumbling, Stop Whining' <http://tiny.cc/k8lqbw>
 72 How Long Will We Allow Obama To "Insult Our Community?" - News One <http://tinyurl.com/7xresib>
 73 Oliver Benjamin Karp: 'Obama at the Congressional Black Caucus', September 27, 2011 <http://tinyurl.com/6ts5rya>
 74 The Hate That Hate Produced - Mike Wallace <http://ow.ly/9SYVW>
 75 Robert McCartney: 'McDonnell's apology raises questions about what he really believes' <http://ow.ly/9rt02>
 76 Whistling 'Dixie': The neo-Confederate 'Tea-Party' Rebellion | PoliticalArticles.NET <http://ow.ly/9Rt2r>
 77 Henry Louis Gates: Henry Louis Gates arrest controversy - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Henry_Louis_Gates_arrest_controversy#Relation_s_with_Crowley_since_the_incident]
 78 Helen Highwater: 'Proof of Anders Breivik's Nazi sympathies & links to EDL leaders', 24.07.2011 22:04
 79 Pamela Geller and Robert Spencer fundraise on Norway attack
 80 Norway shooting: Glenn Beck compares dead teenagers to Hitler youth
 81 Pictured: Anders Breivik looking calm and relaxed in fake police uniform just MINUTES after killing 77 in Norwegian massacre - Mail Online, 7 February 2012 <http://tiny.cc/y5sgbw>
 82 mediate.com: 'CNN Isolates Audio On Alleged 'F*cking C**ns' Trayvon Martin 911 Call' - <http://tiny.cc/mpoqbw>
 83 Israel's forgotten hero: The assassination of Count Bernadotte - and the death of peace - Middle East - World - The Independent <http://ow.ly/9RTIm>
 84 Democracy NOW! EXCLUSIVE: New Video Smuggled Out from Mavi Marmara of Israel's Deadly Assault on Gaza Aid Flotilla | War Is A Crime .org <http://ow.ly/9RR0K>
 85 Nation & World | Florida shooter George Zimmerman not easily pigeonholed | Seattle Times Newspaper <http://ow.ly/9RT9u>
 86 Torture at Abu Gharib « Counterpunch: Tells the Facts, Names the Names <http://ow.ly/9RTng>
 87 'Nazi Support of Zionism', jewsagainstzionism.com - <http://ow.ly/9RWLk>
 88 A Jewish State: An Attempt at a Modern Solution of the Jewish Question - Theodor Herzl, Sylvie d'. Avigdor, Jacob De Haas - Google Books <http://ow.ly/9S3V1>
 89 The First British Slave Ship To Reach The Americas Was Called The Good Jesus! - Religion - Nairaland <http://ow.ly/9RRHh>
 90 Will Cain Takes On Don Lemon, LZ Granderson Over Racial Aspect Of Trayvon Martin Case | Mediate <http://ow.ly/9SM1a>
 91 Timeline of Breitbart's Sherrod smear | Media Matters for America <http://ow.ly/9SK6Q>
 92 Hannity On Trayvon Martin Killing: 'Is It Possible That It Was Just A Horrible Accident?' | Mediate <http://ow.ly/9SLnI>
 93 Al Sharpton's Conflicting Roles in the Trayvon Martin Case - The Daily Beast <http://ow.ly/9T6jt>
 94 George Zimmerman, The Man He Killed Trayvon Martin, Wanted To Be A Cop (DETAILS) | Global Grind <http://ow.ly/9NTDM>
 95 After Toulouse attack: Sarkozy cancels campaign events, Jews warn of rising anti-Semitism | JTA - Jewish & Israel News <http://ow.ly/9Mbog>

START THE CONVERSATION...



It's Never Too Late To Speak To Your Brother



Sundays Bloody Sundays

“Barrio in barricades without a reason
round up in a midnight raid and shot for treason
mothers daughters fathers sons put in detention”
bullets beatings torture guns too cruel to mention”
RICANSTRUCTION – Breakfast In Amerika

“And it’s true we are immune
When fact is fiction and TV reality
And today the millions cry
We eat and drink while tomorrow they die”

U2 – Bloody Sunday

Imperialist powers have had a long history of blaming those they oppress for the conditions of their imperialism. There’s no better example that bears out this flawed thinking than the categorizing of violence used in service to liberation being labeled as “terrorism”. Let’s be very clear about something before we go any further. The violence of the colonized is different from the violence of the imperialist. The violence of the colonized is a response to the violence which is inherent in imperialism. Ireland and Puerto Rico, two of the oldest nations in the world, still struggling to extricate themselves from the grip of foreign imperialism, have been doing so for centuries. The parallel experiences that these nations have experienced over time has more to say about the nature of imperialist violence than it has to do with how the colonized respond to that violence.

In the 1930! s Pedro Albizu Campos the leader of the Nationalist Party in Puerto Rico moved the party toward taking a more active and militant stance towards independence for Puerto Rico from US imperialism. In 1935 in an incident know as the Rio Piedras Massacre four nationalists were killed by police for attending a rally in support of Albizu. The police responsible for the killings were given promotions. The message was clear to Puerto Ricans across the island, that it was okay to kill Puerto Rican Nationalists. In 1936 two Puerto Rican Nationalists Hiram Rosado and Elias Beauchamp assassinated Colonel Elisha Francis Riggs who was in charge of the insular police force at the time of the Rio Piedras massacre. Hiram and Elias were captured and then executed by the police, without a trial. Shortly after that Albizu was arrested on charges of sedition.

On Palm Sunday of 1937 the Nationalist Party of Puerto Rico planned a march in the city of Ponce to commemorate the abolition of slavery and to protest the arrest and imprisonment of Albizu on recent charges of sedition. As the Nationalists gathered to march the colonial governor General Blanton Winship caught word of the protest and sent in police, to surround the march and keep it from happening. Some of the police were armed with machine guns. The Nationalists refused to disperse and decided to march anyway. They marched forward singing “La Boriqueña” the national anthem of Puerto Rico, pushing forward against a line of armed police. The police opened fired on the crowd from all sides and for 15 minutes they terrorized the marchers with gunfire, beating them with clubs and arresting them without cause. In the end seventeen men, one woman and a seven year old girl were killed, 235 people were wounded and 150 people were arrested. No weapons were found on any of the dead, wounded or arrested but despite that fact no one was held responsible for the largest massacre in Puerto Rican history. The message was clearly sent once again... it’s ok to murder Puerto Rican Nationalists...

In the decades that followed a wave of repression by the US colonial government was waged against the Nationalists. All the while the Nationalists fought to release their political prisoners, organized and attempted to overthrow the US government in Puerto Rico, attempted to assassinate US President Harry Truman, and shot up the House Of Congress while it was in full session. When one looks back on US imperialism’s reactions to these actions by the Nationalists there’s a calculated bewilderment on the part of the US as to why these Puerto Ricans would do such things and an arrogance that denies the fact that the brutality begins with US imperialism. There is a willful ignorance to the fact that the forceful political actions of the Nationalists are a means towards ending the violence of US imperialism. To put it simply... If the US got out of Puerto Rico then the Nationalists decision to use violent actions would cease.

In the latter half of the 1960! s Catholics in Northern Ireland were coming under increased discrimination in terms of electoral politics and housing. Despite the fact that Irish Catholics in Northern Ireland were the majority, Northern Ireland was under the control of the Unionist’s, who supported British rule. The Northern Ireland Civil Rights Association was formed to respond to these issues of discrimination. Despite the fact that the NICRA was an organization dedicated to finding non-violent solutions to these problems the group was met with violence by Protestant loyalists and the Royalist Ulster Constabulary at many of their protests. In 1969 a riot erupted in Derry and spread across Northern Ireland for three days. It became known as the Battle of Bogside. At the end of the rioting 1500 Catholics were pushed out of their homes and 1000 people were injured. This was the beginning of an era in Irish history known as The Troubles.

The Troubles continued in 1972 when the NICRA organized a peaceful march for Civil Rights in Derry. The NICRA forced city officials to allow the march to happen without a permit but they placed a military barricade along the route and the NICRA had to reroute the march. A splinter group of young teenagers broke off from that forced detour and continued towards the military barricade attacking it by throwing rocks which was not uncommon in those days. Rubber bullets, water cannons and tear gas dispersed them but in the heat of this battle two soldiers claimed that some of the teens were armed and began firing into the crowd. A ceasefire order given to the military forces went unheeded and over 100 rounds were shot at the demonstrators. In the end 26 protesters and bystanders were shot by the British military, 14 of them were killed, 13 of those killed were teenagers. In the investigation that followed the British military was found to have acted in self defense despite the fact that no weapons were found on anyone who was killed, injured or arrested on that day. The Provisional Irish Republican Army which had begun a campaign against the partition of Northern Ireland only a few short years before found a boost to their recruitment in the aftermath of what became known in Ireland as Bloody Sunday.

When you take a look at these incidents... when you look at these Bloody Sundays you’ll find that the colonized are doing what they can to peacefully find solutions to the problems of imperialism and are met each time with a violence escalated to the level of massacre. In both these circumstances the imperialists react to the challenges of their illegitimate authority with murder and brutality. In both circumstances more than a few of those who were more than willing to try and achieve a non-violent solution are driven to trying to achieve those goals with force. The only logical conclusion that can be drawn from these massacres on two different island nations under the rule of different imperialist powers is that this is not an issue about individual acts of violence and the responses to that violence but that this violence is inherent in imperialism.

Yet if you look at the investigations done in the aftermath of these massacres you can see the imperialists maneuvering to justify their brutality with imagined threats that shape an imperialist reality. In the Ponce massacre General Blanton Winship is sure that the Nationalists march will turn violent and then creates a self-fulfilling prophecy by opening fire on a peaceful crowd. The same is true with the Bloody Sunday massacre in Ireland. The soldiers have been indoctrinated by their imperialist masters that the protesters are coming to kill them and so they decide to kill first. The imperialist reality is a nightmare for the colonized. The imperialist reality is a hermetically sealed vessel in which actual reality is not allowed to seep in. This imperialist reality is imposed on the colonized despite the lack of evidence or proof or even logic.

If you somehow think that this imperialist reality is some relic of the past think of the recent US and UK imperialist adventure in Iraq and the search for weapons of mass destruction that Saddam Hussein was mostly certainly going to use. In the aftermath of that war where are the weapons? Where is the threat? Where is the justification for such actions outside of the hermetically sealed reality of imperialism? It doesn’t exist because imperialism is a false reality without logical basis or empirical standing. Sunday after bloody Sunday it continues to impose this reality on the world in an effort to excuse the greed and hubris of imperialism. The question isn’t why are Puerto Rican Nationalists and Irish Republicans and Iraqi insurgents attacking imperialism... the question is why is the false reality of imperialism being imposed in Puerto Rico, in Ireland, in Iraq... Sunday after bloody Sunday...

TODAY **MARLOW** **TODAY**
and Saturday and Saturday

*Another Big Picture and Filmed
Almost Entirely in Natural Colors*



**RICHARD
DIX**

IN

"Redskin"

"Redskin" — an outcast! Richard Dix' thrilling drama of the red-man's struggle against white civilization. The romance of a college-bred Indian of today.

OTHER PICTURES

Vatican and Italy Sign Pact Ending 60 Year Feud

Remarkable scenes of historic ceremony in Rome — 200,000 gather in St. Peter's Square to acclaim the Holy Father.

OTHER IMPORTANT NEWS

— ALSO —

JACK DUFFY 2-REEL COMEDY

Afternoons at 2:45 — 14c-35c-55c
Evenings at 7 and 9 — 20c-35c-50c

Next Issue:
That's Up To YOU!

Send us your Work:
aboriginalmediagroup@gmail.com



Not Propaganda:
Just Two Senior Gentlemen, an Arab and a Jew Living in Palestine Sharing a
Cigarette and Conversation.

Being Civil To One Another is NOT COWARDICE!

Be Smart...

ALL Semitic Peoples Have the SAME OPPRESSORS!



Creative Commons Attribution-No Derivative Works 3.0 Unported License

FIN